

Office of the City Manager

City of Richland Hills, Texas

Memorandum

To: Honorable Mayor Bill Agan and members of the Richland Hills City Council
From: Eric Strong, City Manager
Date: February 16, 2016
Subject: Monthly Reports

Council Action Requested:

No action requested – Discussion only

Background Information:

Attached are the monthly Departmental Reports. If you have any questions regarding the reports, please contact myself or the appropriate Department Head.

Board/Citizen Input: N/A

Financial Impact: N/A

Staff Contacts: Eric Strong
City Manager
estrong@richlandhills.com

Attachments: Reports

Richland Hills Public Library



Mighty Girls working cooperatively



After School Club building robots

Library		
	January 2016	YTD Total
Patron Visits	5,223	17,389
New Library Cards	28	92
Items Checked Out	3,436	12,540
In-House Materials Used	86	474
Reference Questions Answered	120	479
Items borrowed from MetrOPAC	274	908
Items loaned to MetrOPAC	758	2,423
Hours of Public Computer Usage	562	2,761
Number of Public Computer Sessions	637	2,492
Number of PC Assistance Given by Staff	135	501
Wireless Usage Hours	63	160
Program attendance for Children	174	540
Program attendance for Adults	21	106
New Materials Processed	204	471

Revenues		
	January 2016	YTD Total
Library Fines, Fees and Prints	311.41	889.81
Donations	0	150.00

The library monthly statistics date back as far as 2002. For the first time since 2002, the library has hit the highest monthly visits recorded. In the month of January, 2016, the gate count for the library was 5,223.

Municipal Court

Department Narrative of Significant Actions, Results, and Pending Items:

None at this time.

Citation Summary		
	Current Month	YTD Total
Violations Filed	168	1081
Completed –Paid Fine	3	10
Completed – Before Judge	117	578
Completed – Other	355	2049
Warrants Issued	115	568
Warrants Cleared	121	497
Total Number Outstanding Warrants	96	374
Total Value of Outstanding Warrants	\$35,686.80	\$158,801.54
Total Fees Collected	\$41,465.14	\$208,479.19

City Marshal Activity Report

Department Narrative of Significant Actions, Results, and Pending Items:

None at this time

Citation Summary		
	Current Month	YTD Total
Warrant Service	28	28
Arrests	1	1
Bank detail	12	12
Traffic Stops	0	0
Total number of warrants cleared	121	121
Total amount of warrants cleared	\$22382.30	\$22382.30
Insurance verifications	4	4
Vehicles inspected	1	1
Bailiff hours	6.5	6.5
Training	4	4
Summons/subpoenas served	1	1
Prisoner transfers	6	6
Total hours for prisoner transfers	8.5	8.5
Total miles driven for prisoner trsfs.	253	253
Warrants Time Served	29	29

Code Enforcement

Violations				
	Notices Issued	Compliance Obtained	Citations Issued	Abatement by City
Nuisance Violations Issued	*148	141	7	1
Inspections	171	0	0	0
Telephone calls	42	0	0	0
Case Notes	30	0	0	0
Zoning Issues	*40	35	5	0
Building violations	5	5	0	0

Vacant Buildings Summary	
Known Vacant Buildings	135
Registered Vacant Buildings	14
Notices Issued	70

Code Officer Activity	
Citizen contacts	17
Notices to Vacate	1
Actual Vacates	1
Multi-Family Inspections	0

* Notices issued do not reflect “stop and knock” and verbal notices of violations on site.

* ROW signage retrieval

.

Planning & Community Development

Department Narrative of Significant Actions, Results, and Pending Items:

- Permits and Registrations – MONTHLY Contractors, 8 Plumbers registered that were exempt from fees (-800.00) YTD - 8 Plumbers registered that were exempt from fees (-800.00)
- Other Permits – (Driveway Approach, ROW, Signs, Clean/Show, Ordinance Inspections)

Permits and Registrations				
	Monthly Total	YTD Total	Monthly Revenues	YTD Revenues
Building Permits	6	6	\$1180.40	\$1180.40
Other Permits	3	3	\$237.65	\$237.65
Garage Sale Permits	9	9	\$72.00	\$72.00
Contractor Registrations	18	18	\$1800.00	\$1800.00
Total Fees Collected			\$3,290.05	\$3,290.05

Bureau Veritas Inspections		
	Passed	Failed
Building Inspections		
Other Inspections	8	1

City Inspections		
	Passed	Failed
Building Inspections	12	2
Other Inspections	4	4

Certificate of Occupancy		
	Applied	Issued
Commercial	2	2
Residential	3	3
CO Fees Collected		

Fees Paid		
	Month	YTD
Professional Services	\$3682.00	\$3682.00
Engineering/Consulting	\$1649.62	\$1649.62

Public Works

JANUARY 2016

Streets & Drainage		
	MONTH: JAN. 2016	FYTD
Street Repairs Hrs.	20	171
Potholes Repaired Hrs.	11	82
# Street Signs Replaced	2	46
Curb and Gutter Repair Hours	0	90
Hrs. Tree Trimming/Debris Haul Off	7	119
Drainage Ditches Cleaned-Hours	5	30
#Inlets Cleaned	12	18
Levee Maintenance Hours	6	58
# of After Hour Call Outs / Hrs.	1 / 6	12 / 76

Water		
	MONTH: JAN. 2016	FYTD
# Water Main Repairs	1	9
Main Break Repairs – Hours	12	108
Water Leaks Repairs	15	51
Valve/Hydrant Maintenance Hours	56	259
Meter Reading / City Work Orders Hours	167	721
Well/Pump Maintenance Hours	19	140
# of After Hours Call-outs / Hours	1 / 8	13 / 169

Wastewater		
	MONTH: JAN. 2016	FYTD
# Wastewater Main Repairs	5	24
Linear Feet of Wastewater Line Cleaned	10,400	15,572
Linear Feet of Wastewater Line Camera	500	1310
# of After Hours Call Outs / Hours	3 / 27	18 / 258

Parks		
	MONTH: JAN. 2016	FYTD
# Hours Spent Mowing	0	8
# Hours Spent Trimming Trees/Brush	5	32
Park Maintenance Hours	13	59
Miscellaneous Service Hours	202	662

Recreation Update

January 2016

The month of January proved to be a great start for Senior Activities in 2016. With over 70 attendees at the Thursday lunch and close to 50 at the Friday lunch, we had the pleasure of feeding over 100 people for the fourth straight month.

During this month, staff worked with community members and organizations to find alternate locations for our various activities once the construction of the new building begins this April.

For the Lunch Bunch, both lunches will begin meeting at Richland Hills Christian Church on Glenview Rd. starting in April 2016. Staff is preparing a special newsletter that will be distributed to all regular attendees at the lunches, in the water bills, and on social media outlets. The newsletter will include a calendar of events, lunches, etc. along with a map directing people to the church.

Regarding the location for the Brunch Bunch and exercise classes, the City Hall Council Chambers will be our temporary place of gathering. So don't be surprised if you stop by City Hall in the mornings of Monday, Wednesday, or Fridays to experience some high energy and high-pace music. Of course, feel free to join in if you would like.

Below, you will find a quick snapshot of activities for the community center through the month of January.

	Current Month	FYTD
Center Rentals	9	51
Number of Adult Enrichment Classes	27	113
Participants in Adult Enrichment Classes	204	813
Senior Lunch Bunch Participants	120	410

Fire

- Close to 40 city employees completed CPR and AED training provided by members of the fire department.
- The new breathing air fill system has been received and installed in the fire station. This machine which cost more than \$36,000 was funded through the Assistance to Firefighters grant that was received last year. The City's total portion for this purchase will be less than \$2,500 making this a great addition to our equipment at an extremely reduced expense. This system should be in service for the next 15-20 years.
- Two new employees joined the ranks of the RHFD in January. Landon Whatley is a firefighter/paramedic who comes to Richland Hills with more than six years experience in fire and EMS. Firefighter/ EMT Jeffrey Stow comes to Richland Hills with more than four years experience. Both men are valuable additions to our team and I believe they will serve the citizens well.
- We will continue to update the RHFD website on a regular basis to keep citizens informed on fire safety topics.
- The AED cabinet has been installed at the Library and personnel will install the AED as soon as CPR training is completed for all Library employees. This will be happening in the next few weeks.
- Firefighters who are eligible have begun studying for Engineer positions which will be implemented in June of this year. This position will be the person responsible for driving and operating the fire engine each shift. Having this position in place aligns our structure with our neighbors and provides a promotional opportunity for our personnel before that of the officer or Captain position.

Service Calls – Through January 31, 2016		
	Current Month	YTD Total
Fire Calls	18	18
EMS/Major Accidents/ Rescues	65	65
Hazardous Conditions – No Fire	2	2
Public Assistance	15	15
Good Intent (No Service Rendered)	20	20
False Alarm	3	3
Severe Weather & Natural Disaster	1	1
Total Calls	124	124

Automatic / Mutual Aid		
	Current Month	YTD Total
Haltom City		
Aid Received	1	1
Aid Given	4	4
Hurst		
Aid Received	2	2
Aid Given	2	2
NRH		
Aid Received	4	4
Aid Given	9	9
Other Departments		
Aid Received	1	1
Aid Given	3	3

Finance

Fiscal Year 2015-2016, Period Ending 01/2016

Revenue and Expense Summary

	Total Budget	Cur Month Actual	YTD Actual	Available Budget	% YTD of Total Budget
General Fund (100)					
Revenue					
Taxes	\$ 4,997,651.00	\$ 980,292.92	\$ 2,579,404.19	\$ 2,418,246.81	52%
Fines & Forfeitures	\$ 418,080.00	\$ 25,957.47	\$ 137,783.30	\$ 280,296.70	33%
Licenses & Permits	\$ 117,295.00	\$ 9,289.42	\$ 37,274.07	\$ 80,020.93	32%
Service Charges	\$ 212,700.00	\$ 3,862.95	\$ 60,381.95	\$ 152,318.05	28%
Miscellaneous	\$ 46,600.00	\$ 2,349.66	\$ 13,873.92	\$ 32,726.08	30%
Other Sources	\$ 559,996.00	\$ 46,666.33	\$ 186,665.32	\$ 373,330.68	33%
Total Revenues	\$ 6,352,322.00	\$ 1,068,418.75	\$ 3,015,382.75	\$ 3,336,939.25	47%
Expenditures					
Municipal Court Administration	\$ 221,885.00	\$ 17,330.38	\$ 78,715.01	\$ 143,169.99	35%
Police	\$ 745,430.00	\$ 43,109.80	\$ 253,003.36	\$ 492,426.64	34%
Fire	\$ 1,666,163.00	\$ 159,074.20	\$ 645,124.63	\$ 1,021,038.37	39%
Street	\$ 1,638,789.00	\$ 106,047.48	\$ 558,582.71	\$ 1,080,206.29	34%
Library	\$ 182,484.00	\$ 17,689.55	\$ 79,097.62	\$ 103,386.38	43%
Recreation	\$ 336,667.00	\$ 26,038.54	\$ 109,998.66	\$ 226,668.34	33%
Parks/Grounds	\$ 74,105.00	\$ 3,063.50	\$ 15,446.83	\$ 58,658.17	21%
Community Develop	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 2,065.38	\$ 17,739.98	\$ 22,260.02	44%
Animal Control	\$ 448,505.00	\$ 43,463.55	\$ 180,551.71	\$ 267,953.29	40%
Legislative	\$ 118,271.00	\$ 10,289.16	\$ 47,947.67	\$ 70,323.33	41%
Shared Services	\$ 339,558.00	\$ 5,061.39	\$ 41,555.98	\$ 298,002.02	12%
Transfers	\$ 431,014.00	\$ 53,644.81	\$ 153,283.49	\$ 277,730.51	36%
	\$ 4,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,000.00	0%
Total Expenditures	\$ 6,246,871.00	\$ 486,877.74	\$ 2,181,047.65	\$ 4,065,823.35	35%
Total Fund	\$ 105,451.00	\$ 581,541.01	\$ 834,335.10	\$ (728,884.10)	
Revenue Fund (200)					
Revenue					
Water	\$ 2,200,914.00	\$ 152,163.40	\$ 677,605.82	\$ 1,523,308.18	31%
Sewer	\$ 1,482,141.00	\$ 122,535.37	\$ 487,566.23	\$ 994,574.77	33%
Miscellaneous	\$ 444,675.00	\$ 113,790.79	\$ 303,110.25	\$ 141,564.75	68%
Total Revenues	\$ 4,127,730.00	\$ 388,489.56	\$ 1,468,282.30	\$ 2,659,447.70	36%
Expenditures					
Shared Services	\$ 297,414.00	\$ 40,182.78	\$ 124,603.04	\$ 172,810.96	42%
Administration	\$ 612,030.00	\$ 36,952.42	\$ 181,552.36	\$ 430,477.64	30%
Legislative	\$ 89,558.00	\$ 3,776.25	\$ 19,601.27	\$ 69,956.73	22%
Transfers/Debt Service	\$ 1,192,786.00	\$ 33,749.67	\$ 134,998.68	\$ 1,057,787.32	11%
Water Service	\$ 1,048,717.00	\$ 30,990.91	\$ 408,846.62	\$ 639,870.38	39%
Wastewater Service	\$ 887,225.00	\$ 127,516.28	\$ 458,078.86	\$ 429,146.14	52%
Total Expenditures	\$ 4,127,730.00	\$ 273,168.31	\$ 1,327,680.83	\$ 2,800,049.17	32%
Total Fund	\$ -	\$ 115,321.25	\$ 140,601.47	\$ (140,601.47)	

Finance

Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Period Ending 01/2016

Capital Projects Report

Fund	Department	Project	Budget	Expenses	Balance
		Equipment			\$ -
Enterprise	Wastewater	BFC Lift Station		\$ 712,881.31	\$ (712,881.31)
Enterprise	Drainage	Matthews Interceptor/Kingsbury bypass		\$ 601,010.26	\$ (601,010.26)
General	Police	Equipment	\$ 31,745.00		\$ 31,745.00
General	Fire	Equipment	\$ 78,650.00	\$ 3,755.20	\$ 74,894.80
General	Fire	Building Improvements	\$ 2,900.00		\$ 2,900.00
General	Animal Services	Building Improvements	\$ 5,000.00		\$ 5,000.00
Road/Street	Street	Street Improvements		\$ 104,679.08	\$ (104,679.08)
Oil and Gas	Street	Street Improvements			\$ -
Traffic Safety	Police	Equipment		\$ 10,235.00	\$ (10,235.00)
CCPD	Police	Equipment	\$ 10,975.00	\$ 39,320.00	\$ (28,345.00)
Total			\$ 129,270.00	\$ 1,471,880.85	\$ (1,342,610.85)

City of Richland Hills

Fiscal Year 2015-2016


Treasury Investment Report

January 31, 2016

**Investment Report
City of Richland Hills, Texas
January 31, 2016**


Safety - Securities by Type

Security Type	Book Value	Percent
Cash	\$ 6,955,742.84	95.32%
Certificate of Deposit	\$ 337,653.02	4.63%
Investment Pool	\$ 3,618.79	0.05%
Total	\$ 7,297,014.65	100.00%



Liquidity - Securities by Maturity Date

	Book Value	Percent
Under 30 Days	\$ 6,959,361.63	95.37%
30 to 90 Days	\$ -	0.00%
90 to 180 Days	\$ 337,653.02	4.63%
180 to 1 year	\$ -	0.00%
Total	\$ 7,297,014.65	100.00%



**Investment Report
City of Richland Hills, Texas
January 31, 2016**

Weighted Average Days to Maturity

Portfolio	Current Month
General	18
Revenue	14
	<hr/>
Total Average Maturity Days	16

**Investment Report
City of Richland Hills, Texas
January 31, 2016**

RECONCILIATION

	Par Value	Purchase Value
Prior Month Ending Balance	\$ 7,015,201.01	\$ 7,015,201.01
Current Month Purchases		
Current Month Sales	\$ -	\$ -
Change	<u>\$ 281,813.64</u>	<u>\$ 281,813.64</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 7,297,015</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 7,297,014.65</u></u>

This report is in full compliance with the investment policy and strategy as established for the Investment Portfolio and the Public Funds Investment Act (Chapter 2256.023)

Director of Finance

**Investment Report
City of Richland Hills, Texas
January 31, 2016**

Inventory/Holdings

Description	Purchase Date	Maturity Date	Yield	Par Value	Principal Invested	Beginning Book Value	Beginning Market Value	Ending Book Value	Ending Market Value
General Fund Portfolio									
CD	01-07-16	07-07-16	0.050%	\$ 162,653	\$ 162,653.02	\$ 162,653.02	\$ 162,653.02	\$ 162,653.02	\$ 162,653.02
Texpool	01-31-16	01-31-16		\$ 2,194	\$ 2,194.06	\$ 2,194.06	\$ 2,194.06	\$ 2,194.06	\$ 2,194.06
Total General Portfolio				\$ 164,847	\$ 164,847.08				
Revenue Fund Portfolio									
CD	01-27-16	07-27-16	0.050%	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000.00	\$ 175,000.00	\$ 175,000.00	\$ 175,000.00	\$ 175,000.00
Texpool	01-31-16	01-31-16		\$ 1,275	\$ 1,274.63	\$ 1,274.63	\$ 1,274.63	\$ 1,274.63	\$ 1,274.63
Total Revenue Fund Portfolio				\$ 176,275	\$ 176,274.63				
Drainage Utility Fund Portfolio									
Texpool	01-31-16	01-31-16		\$ 150	\$ 150.10	\$ 150.10	\$ 150.10	\$ 150.10	\$ 150.10
Total Drainage Utility Fund Portfolio				\$ 150	\$ 150.10				
Depository Bank									
Cash Depository Bank				\$ 6,955,742.84	\$ 6,955,742.84	\$ 6,955,742.84	\$ 6,955,742.84	\$ 6,955,742.84	\$ 6,955,742.84
Total Depository Bank				\$ 6,955,742.84					
Total Portfolio (All Funds)				\$ 7,297,014.65					

Bank Account Balances

001 General Fund	\$ 1,291,300.95
002 Revenue Account	\$ 2,075,648.41
006 Law Enforcement Fund	\$ 710.39
007 Parks and Beautification Fund	\$ 21,830.68
010 2001 Cert of Obliga I&S	\$ 1,007.00
012 Oil & Gas Lease Project Fund	\$ 267,366.99
051 Utility Systems Rev Bonds, Reserve	\$ 37,475.34
022 Drainage Utility Fund	\$ 1,416,449.73
024 Municipal Court Building Security	\$ 1,126.03
025 Road and Street Improvement Fund	\$ 28,441.06
026 Richland Hills Development Corp	\$ 331,238.78
065 Crime Control District	\$ 345,540.59
071 2007 Revenue Bonds I&S	\$ 357.39
072 2007 Revenue Bonds Construction	\$ 374.26
076 2007 PPFCO I&S	\$ 129,544.22
077 Hotel Occupancy Tax	\$ 193,098.13
084 Drainage I&S	\$ 8,058.95
86 PPFCO Acquisitions	\$ 24,760.46
87 2011 PPFCO I&S	\$ 34,359.36
88 Ent Improvement Project Fund	\$ 401,525.12
91 2012 PPFCO ACQ	\$ 161,688.12
92 2011 CO I&S	\$ 25,257.84
93 2012 PPFCO I&S Fund	\$ 42,495.97
94 2013 CO Construction Fund	\$ 109,885.70
95 2013 CO I&S Fund	\$ 6,201.37
	\$ 6,955,742.84



Annual Contact Report
2015
The Richland Hills Police Department

(I) Introduction

Opening Statement

January 14, 2016

Richland Hills City Council
P.O. Box 95104
Richland Hills, Texas 79701

Dear Distinguished Members of the City Council,

The Texas Legislature, with the intent of addressing the issue of racial profiling in policing, enacted in 2001 the Texas Racial Profiling Law. Since then, the Richland Hills Police Department, in accordance with the law, has collected and reported traffic and motor vehicle-related contact data for the purpose of identifying and addressing (if necessary) areas of concern regarding racial profiling practices. In the 2009 Texas legislative session, the Racial Profiling Law was modified and additional requirements are now in place. These most recent requirements have been incorporated by the Richland Hills Police Department and are also being addressed in this report.

This particular report contains three sections with information on traffic and motor vehicle-related contact data. In addition, when appropriate, documentation is also a component of this report, aiming at demonstrating the manner in which the Richland Hills Police Department has complied with the Texas Racial Profiling Law. In section 1, you will find the table of contents in addition to the Texas Senate Bill (SB1074); which later became the Texas Racial Profiling Law. In addition, you will find the Texas HB 3389, which, in 2009, introduced new requirements relevant to racial profiling. Also, in this section, a list of requirements relevant to the Racial Profiling Law as established by TCOLE (Texas Commission on Law Enforcement) is included. In addition, you will find, in sections 2 and 3 documentation which demonstrates compliance by the Richland Hills Police Department relevant to the requirements as established in the Texas Racial Profiling Law. That is, you will find documents relevant to the implementation of an institutional policy banning racial profiling, the incorporation of a racial profiling complaint process and the training administered to all law enforcement personnel.

The last section of this report provides statistical data relevant to contacts, made during the course of motor vehicle stops, between 1/1/15 and 12/31/15. In addition, this section contains the TCOLE Tier 1 form, which is required to be submitted to this particular organization by March 1st of each year. The data in this report has been analyzed and compared to data derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's Fair Roads Standard. The final analysis and recommendations are also included in this report. The findings in this report serve as evidence of the Richland Hills Police Department's commitment to comply with the Texas Racial Profiling Law.

Sincerely,

Alex del Carmen, Ph.D.
Del Carmen Consulting, LLC

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

(I) Introduction

- a) Opening Statement
- b) Table of Contents
- c) TCOLE Guidelines
- d) The Texas Law on Racial Profiling (S.B. 1074)
- e) The Most Recent Legal Requirements (H.B. 3389)

(II) Responding to the Texas Racial Profiling Law

- a) Institutional Policy on Racial Profiling
- b) Educational Campaign Relevant to the Complaint Process—
Addressing Allegations of Racial Profiling Practices
- c) Racial Profiling Training of Law Enforcement Personnel
- d) Report on Complaints Filed Against Officers for Violating the Racial Profiling
Law (includes outcome of investigation)
- e) Police Contact Information Table (2015)/Known Ethnicity and Race of Detained
and TCOLE Tier 1 Form
- f) Table Depicting Baseline Comparison (2015)
- g) Fourteen-Year Data Assessment (2002-15)
- h) Analysis and Interpretation of Data (2015)

(III) Summary

- a) Checklist
- b) Contact Information

TCOLE GUIDELINES

Guidelines for Compiling and Reporting Data under Senate Bill 1074

Background

Senate Bill 1074 of the 77th Legislature established requirements in the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure (TCCP) for law enforcement agencies. The Commission developed this document to assist agencies in complying with the statutory requirements.

The guidelines are written in the form of standards using a style developed from accreditation organizations including the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA). The standards provide a description of *what* must be accomplished by an agency but allows wide latitude in determining *how* the agency will achieve compliance with each applicable standard.

Each standard is composed of two parts: the standard statement and the commentary. The *standard statement* is a declarative sentence that places a clear-cut requirement, or multiple requirements, on an agency. The commentary supports the standard statement but is not binding. The commentary can serve as a prompt, as guidance to clarify the intent of the standard, or as an example of one possible way to comply with the standard.

Standard 1

Each law enforcement agency has a detailed written directive that:

- clearly defines acts that constitute racial profiling;
- strictly prohibits peace officers employed by the agency from engaging in racial profiling;
- implements a process by which an individual may file a complaint with the agency if the individual believes a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling with respect to the individual filing the complaint;
- provides for public education relating to the complaint process;
- requires appropriate corrective action to be taken against a peace officer employed by the agency who, after investigation, is shown to have engaged in racial profiling in violation of the agency's written racial profiling policy; and
- requires the collection of certain types of data for subsequent reporting.

Commentary

Article 2.131 of the TCCP prohibits officers from engaging in racial profiling, and article 2.132 of the TCCP now requires a written policy that contains the elements listed in this standard. The article also specifically defines a law enforcement agency as it applies to this statute as an “agency of the state, or of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state, that employs peace officers who make traffic stops in the routine performance of the officers' official duties.”

The article further defines race or ethnicity as being of “a particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American.” The statute does not limit the required policies to just these ethnic groups.

This written policy is to be adopted and implemented no later than January 1, 2002.

Standard 2

Each peace officer who stops a motor vehicle for an alleged violation of a law or ordinance regulating traffic, or who stops a pedestrian for any suspected offense reports to the employing law enforcement agency information relating to the stop, to include:

- a physical description of each person detained, including gender and the person's race or ethnicity, as stated by the person, or, if the person does not state a race or ethnicity, as determined by the officer's best judgment;
- the traffic law or ordinance alleged to have been violated or the suspected offense;
- whether the officer conducted a search as a result of the stop and, if so, whether the person stopped consented to the search;
- whether any contraband was discovered in the course of the search, and the type of contraband discovered;
- whether probable cause to search existed, and the facts supporting the existence of that probable cause;
- whether the officer made an arrest as a result of the stop or the search, including a statement of the offense charged;
- the street address or approximate location of the stop; and
- whether the officer issued a warning or citation as a result of the stop, including a description of the warning or a statement of the violation charged.

Commentary

The information required by 2.133 TCCP is used to complete the agency reporting requirements found in Article 2.134. A peace officer and an agency may be exempted from this requirement under Article 2.135 TCCP Exemption for Agencies Using Video and Audio Equipment. An agency may be exempt from this reporting requirement by applying for the funds from the Department of Public Safety for video and audio equipment and the State does not supply those funds. Section 2.135 (a)(2) states, "the governing body of the county or municipality served by the law enforcement agency, in conjunction with the law enforcement agency, certifies to the Department of Public Safety, not later than the date specified by rule by the department, that the law enforcement agency needs funds or video and audio equipment for the purpose of installing video and audio equipment as described by Subsection (a) (1) (A) and the agency does not receive from the state funds for video and audio equipment sufficient, as determined by the department, for the agency to accomplish that purpose."

Standard 3

The agency compiles the information collected under 2.132 and 2.133 and analyzes the information identified in 2.133.

Commentary

Senate Bill 1074 from the 77th Session of the Texas Legislature created requirements for law enforcement agencies to gather specific information and to report it to each county or municipality served. New sections of law were added to the Code of Criminal Procedure regarding the reporting of traffic and pedestrian stops. Detained is defined as when a person stopped is not free to leave.

Article 2.134 TCCP requires the agency to compile and provide and analysis of the information collected by peace officer employed by the agency. The report is provided to the governing body of the municipality or county no later than March 1 of each year and covers the previous calendar year.

There is data collection and reporting required based on Article 2.132 CCP (tier one) and Article 2.133 CCP (tier two).

The minimum requirements for “tier one” data for traffic stops in which a citation results are:

- 1) the race or ethnicity of individual detained (race and ethnicity as defined by the bill means of “a particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American”);
- 2) whether a search was conducted, and if there was a search, whether it was a consent search or a probable cause search; and
- 3) whether there was a custody arrest.

The minimum requirements for reporting on “tier two” reports include traffic and pedestrian stops. Tier two data include:

- 1) the detained person’s gender and race or ethnicity;
- 2) the type of law violation suspected, e.g., hazardous traffic, non-hazardous traffic, or other criminal investigation (the Texas Department of Public Safety publishes a categorization of traffic offenses into hazardous or non-hazardous);
- 3) whether a search was conducted, and if so whether it was based on consent or probable cause;
- 4) facts supporting probable cause;
- 5) the type, if any, of contraband that was collected;
- 6) disposition of the stop, e.g., arrest, ticket, warning, or release;
- 7) location of stop; and
- 8) statement of the charge, e.g., felony, misdemeanor, or traffic.

Tier one reports are made to the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency an annual report of information if the agency is an agency of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state. Tier one and two reports are reported to the county or municipality not later than March 1 for the previous calendar year beginning March 1, 2003. Tier two reports include a comparative analysis between the race and ethnicity of persons detained to see if a differential pattern of treatment can be discerned based on the disposition of stops including searches resulting from the stops. The reports also include information relating to each complaint filed with the agency alleging that a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling. An agency may be exempt from the tier two reporting requirement by applying for the funds from the Department of Public Safety for video and audio equipment and the State does not supply those funds [See 2.135 (a)(2) TCCP].

Reports should include both raw numbers and percentages for each group. Caution should be exercised in interpreting the data involving percentages because of statistical distortions caused by very small numbers in any particular category, for example, if only one American Indian is stopped and searched, that stop would not provide an accurate comparison with 200 stops among Caucasians with 100 searches. In the first case, a 100% search rate would be skewed data when compared to a 50% rate for Caucasians.

Standard 4

If a law enforcement agency has video and audio capabilities in motor vehicles regularly used for traffic stops, or audio capabilities on motorcycles regularly used to make traffic stops, the agency:

- adopts standards for reviewing and retaining audio and video documentation; and
- promptly provides a copy of the recording to a peace officer who is the subject of a complaint on written request by the officer.

Commentary

The agency should have a specific review and retention policy. Article 2.132 TCCP specifically requires that the peace officer be promptly provided with a copy of the audio or video recordings if the officer is the subject of a complaint and the officer makes a written request.

Standard 5

Agencies that do not currently have video or audio equipment must examine the feasibility of installing such equipment.

Commentary

None

Standard 6

Agencies that have video and audio recording capabilities are exempt from the reporting requirements of Article 2.134 TCCP and officers are exempt from the reporting requirements of Article 2.133 TCCP provided that:

- the equipment was in place and used during the proceeding calendar year; and
- video and audio documentation is retained for at least 90 days.

Commentary

The audio and video equipment and policy must have been in place during the previous calendar year. Audio and video documentation must be kept for at least 90 days or longer if a complaint has been filed. The documentation must be retained until the complaint is resolved. Peace officers are not exempt from the requirements under Article 2.132 TCCP.

Standard 7

Agencies have citation forms or other electronic media that comply with Section 543.202 of the Transportation Code.

Commentary

Senate Bill 1074 changed Section 543.202 of the Transportation Code requiring citations to include:

- race or ethnicity, and
- whether a search of the vehicle was conducted and whether consent for the search was obtained.

The Texas Law on Racial Profiling

S.B. No. 1074

AN ACT

relating to the prevention of racial profiling by certain peace officers.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF
TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 2, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Articles 2.131 through 2.138 to read as follows:

Art. 2.131. RACIAL PROFILING PROHIBITED. A peace officer may not engage in racial profiling.

Art. 2.132. LAW ENFORCEMENT POLICY ON RACIAL PROFILING. (a) In this article:

(1) "Law enforcement agency" means an agency of the state, or of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state, that employs peace officers who make traffic stops in the routine performance of the officers' official duties.

(2) "Race or ethnicity" means of a particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American descent.

(b) Each law enforcement agency in this state shall adopt a detailed written policy on racial profiling. The policy must:

(1) clearly define acts constituting racial profiling;

(2) strictly prohibit peace officers employed by the agency from engaging in racial profiling;

(3) implement a process by which an individual may file a complaint with the agency if the individual believes that a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling with respect to the individual;

(4) provide public education relating to the agency's complaint process;

(5) require appropriate corrective action to be taken against a peace officer employed by the agency who, after an investigation, is shown to have engaged in racial profiling in violation of the agency's policy adopted under this article;

(6) require collection of information relating to traffic stops in which a citation is issued and to arrests resulting from those traffic stops, including information relating to:

(A) the race or ethnicity of the individual detained;

and

(B) whether a search was conducted and, if so,

whether the person detained consented to the search; and

(7) require the agency to submit to the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency an annual report of the information collected under Subdivision (6) if the agency is an agency of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state.

(c) The data collected as a result of the reporting requirements of this article shall not constitute prima facie evidence of racial profiling.

(d) On adoption of a policy under Subsection (b), a law enforcement agency shall examine the feasibility of installing video camera and transmitter-activated equipment in each agency law enforcement motor vehicle regularly used to make traffic stops and transmitter-activated equipment in each agency law enforcement motorcycle regularly used to make traffic stops. If a law enforcement agency installs video or audio equipment as provided by this subsection, the policy adopted by the agency under Subsection (b) must include standards for reviewing video and audio documentation.

(e) A report required under Subsection (b)(7) may not include identifying information about a peace officer who makes a traffic stop or about an individual

who is stopped or arrested by a peace officer. This subsection does not affect the collection of information as required by a policy under Subsection (b)(6).

(f) On the commencement of an investigation by a law enforcement agency of a complaint described by Subsection (b)(3) in which a video or audio recording of the occurrence on which the complaint is based was made, the agency shall promptly provide a copy of the recording to the peace officer who is the subject of the complaint on written request by the officer.

Art. 2.133. REPORTS REQUIRED FOR TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIAN STOPS. (a) In this article:

(1) "Race or ethnicity" has the meaning assigned by Article 2.132(a).

(2) "Pedestrian stop" means an interaction between a peace officer and an individual who is being detained for the purpose of a criminal investigation in which the individual is not under arrest.

(b) A peace officer who stops a motor vehicle for an alleged violation of a law or ordinance regulating traffic or who stops a pedestrian for any suspected offense shall report to the law enforcement agency that employs the officer information relating to the stop, including:

(1) a physical description of each person detained as a result of the stop, including:

(A) the person's gender; and

(B) the person's race or ethnicity, as stated by the person or, if the person does not state the person's race or ethnicity, as determined by the officer to the best of the officer's ability;

(2) the traffic law or ordinance alleged to have been violated or the suspected offense;

(3) whether the officer conducted a search as a result of the stop and, if so, whether the person detained consented to the search;

(4) whether any contraband was discovered in the course of the search and the type of contraband discovered;

(5) whether probable cause to search existed and the facts supporting the existence of that probable cause;

(6) whether the officer made an arrest as a result of the stop or the search, including a statement of the offense charged;

(7) the street address or approximate location of the stop;
and

(8) whether the officer issued a warning or a citation as a result of the stop, including a description of the warning or a statement of the violation charged.

Art. 2.134. COMPILATION AND ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION COLLECTED. (a) In this article, "pedestrian stop" means an interaction between a peace officer and an individual who is being detained for the purpose of a criminal investigation in which the individual is not under arrest.

(b) A law enforcement agency shall compile and analyze the information contained in each report received by the agency under Article 2.133. Not later than March 1 of each year, each local law enforcement agency shall submit a report containing the information compiled during the previous calendar year to the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency in a manner approved by the agency.

(c) A report required under Subsection (b) must include:

(1) a comparative analysis of the information compiled under Article 2.133 to:

(A) determine the prevalence of racial profiling by peace officers employed by the agency; and

(B) examine the disposition of traffic and pedestrian stops made by officers employed by the agency, including searches resulting from the stops; and

(2) information relating to each complaint filed with the agency alleging that a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling.

(d) A report required under Subsection (b) may not include identifying information about a peace officer who makes a traffic or pedestrian stop or about an individual who is stopped or arrested by a peace officer. This subsection does not affect the reporting of information required under Article 2.133(b)(1).

(e) The Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education shall develop guidelines for compiling and reporting information as required by this article.

(f) The data collected as a result of the reporting requirements of this article shall not constitute prima facie evidence of racial profiling.

Art. 2.135. EXEMPTION FOR AGENCIES USING VIDEO AND AUDIO EQUIPMENT. (a) A peace officer is exempt from the reporting requirement under Article 2.133 and a law enforcement agency is exempt from the compilation, analysis, and reporting requirements under Article 2.134 if:

(1) during the calendar year preceding the date that a report under Article 2.134 is required to be submitted:

(A) each law enforcement motor vehicle regularly used by an officer employed by the agency to make traffic and pedestrian stops is equipped with video camera and transmitter-activated equipment and each law enforcement motorcycle regularly used to make traffic and pedestrian stops is equipped with transmitter-activated equipment; and

(B) each traffic and pedestrian stop made by an officer employed by the agency that is capable of being recorded by video and audio or audio equipment, as appropriate, is recorded by using the equipment; or

(2) the governing body of the county or municipality served by the law enforcement agency, in conjunction with the law enforcement agency, certifies to the Department of Public Safety, not later than the date specified by rule by the department, that the law enforcement agency needs funds or video and audio equipment for the purpose of installing video and audio equipment as described by Subsection (a)(1)(A) and the agency does not receive from the state funds or video and audio equipment sufficient, as determined by the department, for the agency to accomplish that purpose.

(b) Except as otherwise provided by this subsection, a law enforcement agency that is exempt from the requirements under Article 2.134 shall retain the video and audio or audio documentation of each traffic and pedestrian stop for at least 90 days after the date of the stop. If a complaint is filed with the law enforcement agency alleging that a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling with respect to a traffic or pedestrian stop, the agency shall retain the video and audio or audio record of the stop until final disposition of the complaint.

(c) This article does not affect the collection or reporting requirements under Article 2.132.

Art. 2.136. LIABILITY. A peace officer is not liable for damages arising from an act relating to the collection or reporting of information as required by Article 2.133 or under a policy adopted under Article 2.132.

Art. 2.137. PROVISION OF FUNDING OR EQUIPMENT. (a) The Department of Public Safety shall adopt rules for providing funds or video and audio equipment to law enforcement agencies for the purpose of installing video and audio equipment as described by Article 2.135(a)(1)(A), including specifying criteria to prioritize funding or equipment provided to law enforcement agencies. The criteria may include consideration of tax

effort, financial hardship, available revenue, and budget surpluses. The criteria must give priority to:

(1) law enforcement agencies that employ peace officers whose primary duty is traffic enforcement;

(2) smaller jurisdictions; and

(3) municipal and county law enforcement agencies.

(b) The Department of Public Safety shall collaborate with an institution of higher education to identify law enforcement agencies that need funds or video and audio equipment for the purpose of installing video and audio equipment as described by Article 2.135(a)(1)(A). The collaboration may include the use of a survey to assist in developing criteria to prioritize funding or equipment provided to law enforcement agencies.

(c) To receive funds or video and audio equipment from the state for the purpose of installing video and audio equipment as described by Article 2.135(a)(1)(A), the governing body of a county or municipality, in conjunction with the law enforcement agency serving the county or municipality, shall certify to the Department of Public Safety that the law enforcement agency needs funds or video and audio equipment for that purpose.

(d) On receipt of funds or video and audio equipment from the state for the purpose of installing video and audio equipment as described by Article 2.135(a)(1)(A), the governing body of a county or municipality, in conjunction with the law enforcement agency serving the county or municipality, shall certify to the Department of Public Safety that the law enforcement agency has installed video and audio equipment as described by Article 2.135(a)(1)(A) and is using the equipment as required by Article 2.135(a)(1).

Art. 2.138. RULES. The Department of Public Safety may adopt rules to implement Articles 2.131-2.137.

SECTION 2. Chapter 3, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 3.05 to read as follows:

Art. 3.05. RACIAL PROFILING. In this code, "racial profiling" means a law enforcement-initiated action based on an individual's race, ethnicity, or national origin rather than on the individual's behavior or on information identifying the individual as having engaged in criminal activity.

SECTION 3. Section 96.641, Education Code, is amended by adding Subsection (j) to read as follows:

(j) As part of the initial training and continuing education for police chiefs required under this section, the institute shall establish a program on racial profiling. The program must include an examination of the best practices for:

(1) monitoring peace officers' compliance with laws and internal agency policies relating to racial profiling;

(2) implementing laws and internal agency policies relating to preventing racial profiling; and

(3) analyzing and reporting collected information.

SECTION 4. Section 1701.253, Occupations Code, is amended by adding Subsection (e) to read as follows:

(e) As part of the minimum curriculum requirements, the commission shall establish a statewide comprehensive education and training program on racial profiling for officers licensed under this chapter. An officer shall complete a program established under this subsection not later than the second anniversary of the date the officer is licensed under this chapter or the date the officer applies for an intermediate proficiency certificate, whichever date is earlier.

SECTION 5. Section 1701.402, Occupations Code, is amended by adding Subsection (d) to read as follows:

(d) As a requirement for an intermediate proficiency certificate, an officer must complete an education and training program on racial profiling established by the commission under Section 1701.253(e).

SECTION 6. Section 543.202, Transportation Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 543.202. FORM OF RECORD. (a) In this section, "race or ethnicity" means of a particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American descent.

(b) The record must be made on a form or by a data processing method acceptable to the department and must include:

(1) the name, address, physical description, including race or ethnicity, date of birth, and driver's license number of the person charged;

(2) the registration number of the vehicle involved;

(3) whether the vehicle was a commercial motor vehicle as defined by Chapter 522 or was involved in transporting hazardous materials;

(4) the person's social security number, if the person was operating a commercial motor vehicle or was the holder of a commercial driver's license or commercial driver learner's permit;

(5) the date and nature of the offense, including whether the offense was a serious traffic violation as defined by Chapter 522;

(6) whether a search of the vehicle was conducted and whether consent for the search was obtained;

(7) the plea, the judgment, and whether bail was forfeited;

(8) ~~(7)~~ the date of conviction; and

(9) ~~(8)~~ the amount of the fine or forfeiture.

SECTION 7. Not later than January 1, 2002, a law enforcement agency shall adopt and implement a policy and begin collecting information under the policy as required by Article 2.132, Code of Criminal Procedure, as added by this Act. A local law enforcement agency shall first submit information to the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency as required by Article 2.132, Code of Criminal Procedure, as

added by this Act, on March 1, 2003. The first submission of information shall consist of information compiled by the agency during the period beginning January 1, 2002, and ending December 31, 2002.

SECTION 8. A local law enforcement agency shall first submit information to the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency as required by Article 2.134, Code of Criminal Procedure, as added by this Act, on March 1, 2004. The first submission of information shall consist of information compiled by the agency during the period beginning January 1, 2003, and ending December 31, 2003.

SECTION 9. Not later than January 1, 2002:

(1) the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education shall establish an education and training program on racial profiling as required by Subsection (e), Section 1701.253, Occupations Code, as added by this Act; and

(2) the Bill Blackwood Law Enforcement Management Institute of Texas shall establish a program on racial profiling as required by Subsection (j), Section 96.641, Education Code, as added by this Act.

SECTION 10. A person who on the effective date of this Act holds an intermediate proficiency certificate issued by the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education or has held a peace officer license issued by the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education for at least two years shall complete an education and training program on racial profiling established under Subsection (e), Section 1701.253, Occupations Code, as added by this Act, not later than September 1, 2003.

SECTION 11. An individual appointed or elected as a police chief before the effective date of this Act shall complete a program on racial profiling established under Subsection (j), Section 96.641, Education Code, as added by this Act, not later than September 1, 2003.

SECTION 12. This Act takes effect September 1, 200

President of the Senate

Speaker of the House

I hereby certify that S.B. No. 1074 passed the Senate on April 4, 2001, by the following vote: Yeas 28, Nays 2; May 21, 2001, Senate refused to concur in House amendments and requested appointment of Conference Committee; May 22, 2001, House granted request of the Senate; May 24, 2001, Senate adopted Conference Committee Report by a viva-voce vote.

Secretary of the Senate

I hereby certify that S.B. No. 1074 passed the House, with amendments, on May 15, 2001, by a non-record vote; May 22, 2001, House granted request of the Senate for appointment of Conference Committee; May 24, 2001, House adopted Conference Committee Report by a non-record vote.

Chief Clerk of the House

Approved:

Date

Governor

Most Recent Legal Requirements (H.B. 3389)

Amend CSHB 3389 (Senate committee report) as follows:

- (1) Strike the following SECTIONS of the bill:
 - (A) SECTION 8, adding Section 1701.164, Occupations Code (page 4, lines 61-66);
 - (B) SECTION 24, amending Article 2.132(b), Code of Criminal Procedure (page 8, lines 19-53);
 - (C) SECTION 25, amending Article 2.134(b), Code of Criminal Procedure (page 8, lines 54-64);
 - (D) SECTION 28, providing transition language for the amendments to Articles 2.132(b) and 2.134(b), Code of Criminal Procedure (page 9, lines 40-47).

(2) Add the following appropriately numbered SECTIONS to the bill and renumber subsequent SECTIONS of the bill accordingly:

SECTION _____. Article 2.132, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by amending Subsections (a), (b), (d), and (e) and adding Subsection (g) to read as follows:

- (a) In this article:
 - (1) "Law enforcement agency" means an agency of the state, or of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state, that employs peace officers who make motor vehicle [~~traffic~~] stops in the routine performance of the officers' official duties.
 - (2) "Motor vehicle stop" means an occasion in which a peace officer stops a motor vehicle for an alleged violation of a law or ordinance.
 - (3) "Race or ethnicity" means of a particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, [~~or~~] Native American, or Middle Eastern descent.

(b) Each law enforcement agency in this state shall adopt a detailed written policy on racial profiling. The policy must:

- (1) clearly define acts constituting racial profiling;
- (2) strictly prohibit peace officers employed by the agency from engaging in racial profiling;
- (3) implement a process by which an individual may file a complaint with the agency if the individual believes that a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling with respect to the individual;
- (4) provide public education relating to the agency's complaint process;
- (5) require appropriate corrective action to be taken against a peace officer employed by the agency who, after an investigation, is shown to have engaged in racial profiling in violation of the agency's policy adopted under this article;
- (6) require collection of information relating to motor vehicle [~~traffic~~] stops in which a citation is issued and to arrests made as a result of [~~resulting from~~] those [~~traffic~~] stops, including information relating to:
 - (A) the race or ethnicity of the individual detained; and
 - (B) whether a search was conducted and, if so,

whether the individual [~~person~~] detained consented to the search;
and

(C) whether the peace officer knew the race or ethnicity of the individual detained before detaining that individual; and

(7) require the chief administrator of the agency, regardless of whether the administrator is elected, employed, or appointed, to submit [to the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency] an annual report of the information collected under Subdivision (6) to:

(A) the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education; and

(B) the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency, if the agency is an agency of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state.

(d) On adoption of a policy under Subsection (b), a law enforcement agency shall examine the feasibility of installing video camera and transmitter-activated equipment in each agency law enforcement motor vehicle regularly used to make motor vehicle [~~traffic~~] stops and transmitter-activated equipment in each agency law enforcement motorcycle regularly used to make motor vehicle [~~traffic~~] stops. If a law enforcement agency installs video or audio equipment as provided by this subsection, the policy adopted by the agency under Subsection (b) must include standards for reviewing video and audio documentation.

(e) A report required under Subsection (b)(7) may not include identifying information about a peace officer who makes a motor vehicle [~~traffic~~] stop or about an individual who is stopped or arrested by a peace officer. This subsection does not affect the collection of information as required by a policy under Subsection (b)(6).

(g) On a finding by the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education that the chief administrator of a law enforcement agency intentionally failed to submit a report required under Subsection (b)(7), the commission shall begin disciplinary procedures against the chief administrator.

SECTION _____. Article 2.133, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended to read as follows:

Art. 2.133. REPORTS REQUIRED FOR MOTOR VEHICLE [~~TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIAN~~] STOPS. (a) In this article, "race[+]

[~~(1)~~—"Race] or ethnicity" has the meaning assigned by Article 2.132(a).

[~~(2)~~—"Pedestrian stop" means an interaction between a peace officer and an individual who is being detained for the purpose of a criminal investigation in which the individual is not under arrest.]

(b) A peace officer who stops a motor vehicle for an alleged violation of a law or ordinance [~~regulating traffic or who stops a pedestrian for any suspected offense~~] shall report to the law enforcement agency that employs the officer information relating to the stop, including:

(1) a physical description of any [~~each~~] person operating the motor vehicle who is detained as a result of the stop, including:

(A) the person's gender; and

(B) the person's race or ethnicity, as stated by the person or, if the person does not state the person's race or

ethnicity, as determined by the officer to the best of the officer's ability;

(2) the initial reason for the stop [~~traffic law or ordinance alleged to have been violated or the suspected offense~~];

(3) whether the officer conducted a search as a result of the stop and, if so, whether the person detained consented to the search;

(4) whether any contraband or other evidence was discovered in the course of the search and a description [~~the type~~] of the contraband or evidence [~~discovered~~];

(5) the reason for the search, including whether:

(A) any contraband or other evidence was in plain view;

(B) any probable cause or reasonable suspicion existed to perform the search; or

(C) the search was performed as a result of the towing of the motor vehicle or the arrest of any person in the motor vehicle [~~existed and the facts supporting the existence of that probable cause~~];

(6) whether the officer made an arrest as a result of the stop or the search, including a statement of whether the arrest was based on a violation of the Penal Code, a violation of a traffic law or ordinance, or an outstanding warrant and a statement of the offense charged;

(7) the street address or approximate location of the stop; and

(8) whether the officer issued a written warning or a citation as a result of the stop [~~, including a description of the warning or a statement of the violation charged~~].

SECTION _____. Article 2.134, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by amending Subsections (a) through (e) and adding Subsection (g) to read as follows:

(a) In this article:

(1) "Motor vehicle [~~, "pedestrian~~] stop" has the meaning assigned by Article 2.132(a) [~~means an interaction between a peace officer and an individual who is being detained for the purpose of a criminal investigation in which the individual is not under arrest~~].

(2) "Race or ethnicity" has the meaning assigned by Article 2.132(a).

(b) A law enforcement agency shall compile and analyze the information contained in each report received by the agency under Article 2.133. Not later than March 1 of each year, each [~~local~~] law enforcement agency shall submit a report containing the incident-based data [~~information~~] compiled during the previous calendar year to the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education and, if the law enforcement agency is a local law enforcement agency, to the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency [~~in a manner approved by the agency~~].

(c) A report required under Subsection (b) must be submitted by the chief administrator of the law enforcement agency, regardless of whether the administrator is elected, employed, or appointed, and must include:

(1) a comparative analysis of the information compiled under Article 2.133 to:

(A) evaluate and compare the number of motor

vehicle stops, within the applicable jurisdiction, of persons who are recognized as racial or ethnic minorities and persons who are not recognized as racial or ethnic minorities [~~determine the prevalence of racial profiling by peace officers employed by the agency~~]; and

(B) examine the disposition of motor vehicle [~~traffic and pedestrian~~] stops made by officers employed by the agency, categorized according to the race or ethnicity of the affected persons, as appropriate, including any searches resulting from [~~the~~] stops within the applicable jurisdiction; and

(2) information relating to each complaint filed with the agency alleging that a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling.

(d) A report required under Subsection (b) may not include identifying information about a peace officer who makes a motor vehicle [~~traffic or pedestrian~~] stop or about an individual who is stopped or arrested by a peace officer. This subsection does not affect the reporting of information required under Article 2.133(b)(1).

(e) The Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education, in accordance with Section 1701.162, Occupations Code, shall develop guidelines for compiling and reporting information as required by this article.

(g) On a finding by the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education that the chief administrator of a law enforcement agency intentionally failed to submit a report required under Subsection (b), the commission shall begin disciplinary procedures against the chief administrator.

SECTION _____. Article 2.135, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended to read as follows:

Art. 2.135. PARTIAL EXEMPTION FOR AGENCIES USING VIDEO AND AUDIO EQUIPMENT. (a) A peace officer is exempt from the reporting requirement under Article 2.133 and the chief administrator of a law enforcement agency, regardless of whether the administrator is elected, employed, or appointed, is exempt from the compilation, analysis, and reporting requirements under Article 2.134 if:

(1) during the calendar year preceding the date that a report under Article 2.134 is required to be submitted:

(A) each law enforcement motor vehicle regularly used by an officer employed by the agency to make motor vehicle [~~traffic and pedestrian~~] stops is equipped with video camera and transmitter-activated equipment and each law enforcement motorcycle regularly used to make motor vehicle [~~traffic and pedestrian~~] stops is equipped with transmitter-activated equipment; and

(B) each motor vehicle [~~traffic and pedestrian~~] stop made by an officer employed by the agency that is capable of being recorded by video and audio or audio equipment, as appropriate, is recorded by using the equipment; or

(2) the governing body of the county or municipality served by the law enforcement agency, in conjunction with the law enforcement agency, certifies to the Department of Public Safety, not later than the date specified by rule by the department, that the law enforcement agency needs funds or video and audio equipment for the purpose of installing video and audio equipment as described by Subsection (a)(1)(A) and the agency does not receive from the state funds or video and audio equipment sufficient, as

determined by the department, for the agency to accomplish that purpose.

(b) Except as otherwise provided by this subsection, a law enforcement agency that is exempt from the requirements under Article 2.134 shall retain the video and audio or audio documentation of each motor vehicle [~~traffic and pedestrian~~] stop for at least 90 days after the date of the stop. If a complaint is filed with the law enforcement agency alleging that a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling with respect to a motor vehicle [~~traffic or pedestrian~~] stop, the agency shall retain the video and audio or audio record of the stop until final disposition of the complaint.

(c) This article does not affect the collection or reporting requirements under Article 2.132.

(d) In this article, "motor vehicle stop" has the meaning assigned by Article 2.132(a).

SECTION _____. Chapter 2, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 2.1385 to read as follows:

Art. 2.1385. CIVIL PENALTY. (a) If the chief administrator of a local law enforcement agency intentionally fails to submit the incident-based data as required by Article 2.134, the agency is liable to the state for a civil penalty in the amount of \$1,000 for each violation. The attorney general may sue to collect a civil penalty under this subsection.

(b) From money appropriated to the agency for the administration of the agency, the executive director of a state law enforcement agency that intentionally fails to submit the incident-based data as required by Article 2.134 shall remit to the comptroller the amount of \$1,000 for each violation.

(c) Money collected under this article shall be deposited in the state treasury to the credit of the general revenue fund.

SECTION _____. Subchapter A, Chapter 102, Code of Criminal Procedure, is amended by adding Article 102.022 to read as follows:

Art. 102.022. COSTS ON CONVICTION TO FUND STATEWIDE REPOSITORY FOR DATA RELATED TO CIVIL JUSTICE. (a) In this article, "moving violation" means an offense that:

- (1) involves the operation of a motor vehicle; and
- (2) is classified as a moving violation by the

Department of Public Safety under Section 708.052, Transportation Code.

(b) A defendant convicted of a moving violation in a justice court, county court, county court at law, or municipal court shall pay a fee of 10 cents as a cost of court.

(c) In this article, a person is considered convicted if:

- (1) a sentence is imposed on the person;
- (2) the person receives community supervision,

including deferred adjudication; or

- (3) the court defers final disposition of the person's

case.

(d) The clerks of the respective courts shall collect the costs described by this article. The clerk shall keep separate records of the funds collected as costs under this article and shall deposit the funds in the county or municipal treasury, as appropriate.

(e) The custodian of a county or municipal treasury shall:

- (1) keep records of the amount of funds on deposit

collected under this article; and

(2) send to the comptroller before the last day of the first month following each calendar quarter the funds collected under this article during the preceding quarter.

(f) A county or municipality may retain 10 percent of the funds collected under this article by an officer of the county or municipality as a collection fee if the custodian of the county or municipal treasury complies with Subsection (e).

(g) If no funds due as costs under this article are deposited in a county or municipal treasury in a calendar quarter, the custodian of the treasury shall file the report required for the quarter in the regular manner and must state that no funds were collected.

(h) The comptroller shall deposit the funds received under this article to the credit of the Civil Justice Data Repository fund in the general revenue fund, to be used only by the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education to implement duties under Section 1701.162, Occupations Code.

(i) Funds collected under this article are subject to audit by the comptroller.

SECTION _____. (a) Section 102.061, Government Code, as reenacted and amended by Chapter 921 (H.B. 3167), Acts of the 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007, is amended to conform to the amendments made to Section 102.061, Government Code, by Chapter 1053 (H.B. 2151), Acts of the 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007, and is further amended to read as follows:

Sec. 102.061. ADDITIONAL COURT COSTS ON CONVICTION IN STATUTORY COUNTY COURT: CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. The clerk of a statutory county court shall collect fees and costs under the Code of Criminal Procedure on conviction of a defendant as follows:

- (1) a jury fee (Art. 102.004, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$20;
- (2) a fee for services of the clerk of the court (Art. 102.005, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$40;
- (3) a records management and preservation services fee (Art. 102.005, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$25;
- (4) a security fee on a misdemeanor offense (Art. 102.017, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$3;
- (5) a juvenile delinquency prevention and graffiti eradication fee (Art. 102.0171, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$50 [~~\$5~~]; [~~and~~]
- (6) a juvenile case manager fee (Art. 102.0174, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . not to exceed \$5; and
- (7) a civil justice fee (Art. 102.022, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$0.10.

(b) Section 102.061, Government Code, as amended by Chapter 1053 (H.B. 2151), Acts of the 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007, is repealed. Section 102.061, Government Code, as reenacted and amended by Chapter 921 (H.B. 3167), Acts of the 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007, to reorganize and renumber that section, continues in effect as further amended by this section.

SECTION _____. (a) Section 102.081, Government Code, as amended by Chapter 921 (H.B. 3167), Acts of the 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007, is amended to conform to the amendments made to Section 102.081, Government Code, by Chapter 1053 (H.B. 2151), Acts of the 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007, and is further amended to read as follows:

Sec. 102.081. ADDITIONAL COURT COSTS ON CONVICTION IN

COUNTY COURT: CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. The clerk of a county court shall collect fees and costs under the Code of Criminal Procedure on conviction of a defendant as follows:

- (1) a jury fee (Art. 102.004, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$20;
- (2) a fee for clerk of the court services (Art. 102.005, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$40;
- (3) a records management and preservation services fee (Art. 102.005, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$25;
- (4) a security fee on a misdemeanor offense (Art. 102.017, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$3;
- (5) a juvenile delinquency prevention and graffiti eradication fee (Art. 102.0171, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$50 [~~\$5~~]; [~~and~~]
- (6) a juvenile case manager fee (Art. 102.0174, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . not to exceed \$5; and
- (7) a civil justice fee (Art. 102.022, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$0.10.

(b) Section 102.081, Government Code, as amended by Chapter 1053 (H.B. 2151), Acts of the 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007, is repealed. Section 102.081, Government Code, as amended by Chapter 921 (H.B. 3167), Acts of the 80th Legislature, Regular Session, 2007, to reorganize and renumber that section, continues in effect as further amended by this section.

SECTION _____. Section 102.101, Government Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 102.101. ADDITIONAL COURT COSTS ON CONVICTION IN JUSTICE COURT: CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. A clerk of a justice court shall collect fees and costs under the Code of Criminal Procedure on conviction of a defendant as follows:

- (1) a jury fee (Art. 102.004, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$3;
- (2) a fee for withdrawing request for jury less than 24 hours before time of trial (Art. 102.004, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$3;
- (3) a jury fee for two or more defendants tried jointly (Art. 102.004, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . one jury fee of \$3;
- (4) a security fee on a misdemeanor offense (Art. 102.017, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$4;
- (5) a fee for technology fund on a misdemeanor offense (Art. 102.0173, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$4;
- (6) a juvenile case manager fee (Art. 102.0174, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . not to exceed \$5;
- (7) a fee on conviction of certain offenses involving issuing or passing a subsequently dishonored check (Art. 102.0071, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . not to exceed \$30; [~~and~~]
- (8) a court cost on conviction of a Class C misdemeanor in a county with a population of 3.3 million or more, if authorized by the county commissioners court (Art. 102.009, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . not to exceed \$7; and
- (9) a civil justice fee (Art. 102.022, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$0.10.

SECTION _____. Section 102.121, Government Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 102.121. ADDITIONAL COURT COSTS ON CONVICTION IN MUNICIPAL COURT: CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE. The clerk of a

municipal court shall collect fees and costs on conviction of a defendant as follows:

- (1) a jury fee (Art. 102.004, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$3;
 - (2) a fee for withdrawing request for jury less than 24 hours before time of trial (Art. 102.004, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$3;
 - (3) a jury fee for two or more defendants tried jointly (Art. 102.004, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . one jury fee of \$3;
 - (4) a security fee on a misdemeanor offense (Art. 102.017, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$3;
 - (5) a fee for technology fund on a misdemeanor offense (Art. 102.0172, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . not to exceed \$4;
- [and]
- (6) a juvenile case manager fee (Art. 102.0174, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . not to exceed \$5; and
 - (7) a civil justice fee (Art. 102.022, Code of Criminal Procedure) . . . \$0.10.

SECTION _____. Subchapter D, Chapter 1701, Occupations Code, is amended by adding Section 1701.164 to read as follows:

Sec. 1701.164. COLLECTION OF CERTAIN INCIDENT-BASED DATA SUBMITTED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES. The commission shall collect and maintain incident-based data submitted to the commission under Article 2.134, Code of Criminal Procedure, including incident-based data compiled by a law enforcement agency from reports received by the law enforcement agency under Article 2.133 of that code. The commission in consultation with the Department of Public Safety, the Bill Blackwood Law Enforcement Management Institute of Texas, the W. W. Caruth, Jr., Police Institute at Dallas, and the Texas Police Chiefs Association shall develop guidelines for submitting in a standard format the report containing incident-based data as required by Article 2.134, Code of Criminal Procedure.

SECTION _____. Subsection (a), Section 1701.501, Occupations Code, is amended to read as follows:

(a) Except as provided by Subsection (d), the commission shall revoke or suspend a license, place on probation a person whose license has been suspended, or reprimand a license holder for a violation of:

- (1) this chapter;
- (2) the reporting requirements provided by Articles 2.132 and 2.134, Code of Criminal Procedure; or
- (3) a commission rule.

SECTION _____. (a) The requirements of Articles 2.132, 2.133, and 2.134, Code of Criminal Procedure, as amended by this Act, relating to the compilation, analysis, and submission of incident-based data apply only to information based on a motor vehicle stop occurring on or after January 1, 2010.

(b) The imposition of a cost of court under Article 102.022, Code of Criminal Procedure, as added by this Act, applies only to an offense committed on or after the effective date of this Act. An offense committed before the effective date of this Act is covered by the law in effect when the offense was committed, and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose. For purposes of this section, an offense was committed before the effective date of this Act if any element of the offense occurred before that date.

(II) Responding to the Law

Institutional Policy on Racial Profiling

425.00 RACIAL PROFILING

425.01 PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to reaffirm the Richland Hills Police Department's commitment to unbiased policing, to reinforce procedures that serve to assure public safety by providing service and enforcing laws in a fair and equitable manner and, to protect our officers from unwarranted accusations of misconduct when they act within the dictates of departmental policy and the law.

425.02 DEFINITIONS

- A. Racial Profiling** – Any law enforcement-initiated action based on an individual's race, ethnicity, or national origin rather than on the individual's behavior or on information identifying the individual as having engaged in criminal activity (*Art. 3.05, CCP*).(Amended 8/20/03).

Racial profiling pertains to persons who are viewed as suspects or potential suspects of criminal behavior. The term is not relevant as it pertains to witnesses, complainants or other citizen contacts.

The prohibition against racial profiling does not preclude the use of race, ethnicity or national origin as factors in a detention decision. Race, ethnicity or national origin may be legitimate factors in a detention decision when used as part of an actual description of a specific suspect for whom an officer is searching. Detaining an individual and conducting an inquiry into that person's activities simply because of the individual's race, ethnicity, or national origin is racial profiling. Examples of racial profiling include but are not limited to the following:

1. Citing a driver who is speeding in a stream of traffic where most other drivers are speeding because of the cited driver's race, ethnicity or national origin.
2. Detaining the driver of a vehicle based on the determination that a person of that race, ethnicity or national origin is unlikely to own or possess that specific make or model of vehicle.
3. Detaining an individual based upon the determination that a person of that race, ethnicity or national origin does not belong in a specific part of town or a specific place.

The prohibition against racial profiling will be enforced in all phases of law enforcement operations, to include, but not limited to, traffic contacts, field contacts, and asset seizures and forfeitures. All investigations involving searches, seizures and/or asset forfeiture, shall be conducted in accordance with all applicable Constitutional, Federal, State and local mandates/legislation. Under no circumstances, will any investigation be based upon bias based profiling. (*Amended 10/05/05*).

- B. Biased Based Profiling-** Any law enforcement-initiated action based on an individual's race, ethnic background, gender, sexual orientation, religion, economic status, age, cultural group, or any other identifiable group. (*Amended 1/01/2010*).

- C. **Race or Ethnicity** – Of a particular descent, including, but not limited to, white, black, Hispanic, Asian, Middle Eastern or Native American. (*Amended 8/20/03*), (*Amended 01/01/2010*).
- D. **Pedestrian Stop** – An interaction between a peace officer and an individual who is being detained for the purpose of a criminal investigation in which the individual is not under arrest.
- E. **Traffic Stop** – A peace officer that stops a motor vehicle for an alleged violation of a law or ordinance regulating traffic.

425.03 POLICY

- A. It is the policy of this department to police in a proactive manner and to thoroughly investigate suspected violations of the law. Officers shall actively enforce state, local and federal laws in a responsible and professional manner, without regard to race, ethnicity or national origin. Officers are strictly prohibited from engaging in racial profiling as defined in this policy. This policy shall be applicable to all persons. (*Amended 8/20/03*).
- B. Officers shall conduct themselves in a professional and respectful manner at all times. Two of the fundamental rights guaranteed by both the United States and Texas constitutions are equal protection under the law and freedom from unreasonable searches and seizures by government agents. The right of all persons to be treated equally and to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures must be respected. (*Amended 8/20/03*).
- C. This policy shall not preclude officers from offering assistance, such as upon observing a substance leaking from a vehicle, a flat tire, or someone who appears to be ill, lost or confused. Nor does this policy prohibit stopping someone suspected of a crime based upon observed actions and/or information received about the person.

425.04 TRAINING

- A. Officers are responsible to adhere to the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education (TCLEOSE) training and the Law Enforcement Management Institute of Texas (LEMIT) requirements as mandated by law.
- B. All officers shall complete a TCLEOSE training and education program on racial profiling not later than the second anniversary of the date the officer is licensed or the date the officer applies for an intermediate proficiency certificate, whichever date is earlier.
- C. Additional training may be provided as needed.

425.05 COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION

- A. The department shall accept complaints from any person who believes he or she has been stopped or searched based on racial, ethnic or national origin profiling. No

- person shall be discouraged, threatened, intimidated or coerced from filing a complaint, nor discriminated or retaliated against because he or she filed such a complaint. *(Amended 8/20/03)*.
- B. Any employee who receives an allegation of racial profiling, including the officer who initiated the stop, shall record the person's name, address and telephone number, and forward the complaint through the appropriate channel or direct the individual(s) to the appropriate person so that they may file a complaint. Any employee contacted shall provide to that person information about the department's process for filing a complaint. All employees will report any allegation of racial profiling to their supervisor before the end of their shift.
- C. Investigation of a complaint shall be conducted in a thorough and timely manner. All complaints will be acknowledged in writing to the initiator who will receive disposition regarding said complaint within thirty (30) days. The investigation shall be reduced to writing and any reviewer's comments or conclusions shall be filed with the Chief of Police. Findings and/or suggestions for disciplinary action, training, retraining, counseling or changes in policy shall be filed with the Chief of Police. *(Amended 8/20/03)*.
- D. If a racial profiling complaint is sustained, it will result in appropriate corrective and/or disciplinary action, up to and including termination.
- E. If there is a departmental video or audio recording of the events upon which a complaint of racial profiling is based, upon commencement of an investigation by the department into the complaint and written request of the officer made the subject of the complaint, this department shall provide a copy of the recording to that officer within three (3) working days following receipt of the request. *(Amended 8/20/03)*.

425.06 PUBLIC EDUCATION

This department will inform the public of its policy against racial profiling and the complaint process. Methods that may be utilized to inform the public shall include the news media, city cable channel, service or civic presentations, the Internet and other methods as deemed appropriate.

425.07 CITATION DATA COLLECTION AND REPORTING

- A. An officer is required to collect information relating to traffic stops in which a citation is issued by registering the data in the department's citation writing software. The information must include:
1. the violators race or ethnicity;
 2. if the race or ethnicity was known prior to the stop;
 3. whether a search was conducted;
 4. whether the search was consensual;
 5. if the violator was cited for the violation, arrested or both.

Form RHPD-198 must be completed in the event the Mobile-Cite ticket writer is inoperable. *(Amended 8/20/03)* .*(Amended 10/18/05)*. *(Amended 01/01/2010)*

- B. By March 1 of each year, the department shall submit a report to the city council as well as TCLEOSE that includes the information gathered by the citations from the preceding calendar year. The report will include:
 - 1. a breakdown of citations by race or ethnicity;
 - 2. number of citations that resulted in a search;
 - 3. number of searches that were consensual;
 - 4. number of citations that resulted in custodial arrest for the cited violation or any other violation; and
 - 5. whether the race or ethnicity was known at the time of the stop.
- C. The annual report shall not include identifying information about any individual stopped or arrested, and shall not include identifying information about any peace officer involved in a stop or arrest. (*Amended 021802*), (*Amended 01/01/2010*).

425.08 USE OF VIDEO AND AUDIO EQUIPMENT

- A. Each motor vehicle regularly used by this department to make traffic and pedestrian stops is equipped with a video camera and transmitter-activated equipment.
- B. Each traffic and pedestrian stop made by an officer of this department that is capable of being recorded by video and audio, or audio, as appropriate, is recorded.
- C. This department shall retain the video and audiotapes, or the audiotape of each traffic and pedestrian stop for at least ninety (90) days after the date of the stop. If a complaint is filed with this department alleging that one of our officers has engaged in racial profiling with respect to a traffic or pedestrian stop, the video and audiotapes, or the audiotape of the stop shall be retained until final disposition of the complaint or for at least ninety (90) days, whichever time period is greater.
- D. Supervisors shall ensure officers of this department are recording their traffic and pedestrian stops. A recording of each officer will be reviewed at least every ninety (90) days for the purpose of auditing the stops made, and to assess whether there are any training needs as it relates to professional traffic stops, DWI enforcement, and officer safety. (*Amended 8/20/03*).
- E. If the equipment used to record audio and/or video of traffic or pedestrian stops is malfunctioning or otherwise not operable, or an officer operating a bicycle stops a vehicle or pedestrian, the officer making the stop shall record and report the information which is contained in RHPD form # 199. Forms will be submitted to the shift supervisor for inspection and approval prior to the end of shift. Completed forms will then be forwarded and maintained by the Records Division. (*Amended 10/18/05*).
- F. In conjunction with preparation of the annual report required above, the Chief of Police, or designee, shall periodically conduct reviews of a randomly-selected sampling of video and audio recordings made recently by peace officers employed by the City in order to determine if patterns of racial profiling exist. (*Amended 021802*).

G. In reviewing audio and video recordings, the Chief of Police, or designee, shall seek to determine if the officer who is involved therein has engaged in a pattern of racial profiling that includes multiple acts constituting racial profiling for which there is no reasonable, credible explanation based on established police and law enforcement procedures. A single act constituting racial profiling may not be considered a pattern of racial profiling, and shall not be grounds for corrective action. (*Amended 021802*).
Effective January 1, 2002.

Approved by: Chief of Police & Date

Amended February 18, 2002.

Amended August 20, 2003.

Amended October 5, 2005.

Amended October 18, 2005.

Amended January 1, 2010.

Complaint Process: Informing the Public and Addressing Allegations of Racial Profiling Practices

Informing the Public on the Process of Filing a Racial Profiling Complaint with the Richland Hills Police Department

The Texas Racial Profiling Law requires that police agencies provide information to the public regarding the manner in which to file a racial profiling complaint. In an effort to comply with this particular component, the Richland Hills Police Department launched an educational campaign aimed at informing the public on issues relevant to the racial profiling complaint process.

The police department made available, in the lobby area and on its web site, information relevant to filing a complaint on a racial profiling violation by a Richland Hills Police officer. It is believed that through these efforts, the community has been properly informed of the new policies and the complaint processes relevant to racial profiling.

Racial Profiling Training

Racial Profiling Training

Since 2002, all Richland Hills Police officers have been instructed, as specified in the Texas Racial Profiling Law, to adhere to all Texas Commission on Law Enforcement (TCOLE) training and the Law Enforcement Management Institute of Texas (LEMIT) requirements. To date, all sworn officers of the Richland Hills Police Department have completed the TCOLE basic training on racial profiling. The main outline used to train the officers of Richland Hills has been included in this report.

It is important to recognize that the Chief of the Richland Hills Police Department has also met the training requirements, as specified by the Texas Racial Profiling Law, in the completion of the LEMIT program on racial profiling. The satisfactory completion of the racial profiling training by the sworn personnel of the Richland Hills Police Department fulfills the training requirement as specified in the Education Code (96.641) of the Texas Racial Profiling Law.

**Racial Profiling
Course Number 3256
Texas Commission on Law Enforcement
September 2001**

Racial Profiling 3256

Instructor's Note:

You may wish to teach this course in conjunction with Asset Forfeiture 3255 because of the related subject matter and applicability of the courses. If this course is taught in conjunction with Asset Forfeiture, you may report it under Combined Profiling and Forfeiture 3257 to reduce data entry.

Abstract

This instructor guide is designed to meet the educational requirement for racial profiling established by legislative mandate: 77R-SB1074.

Target Population: Licensed law enforcement personnel in Texas

Prerequisites: Experience as a law enforcement officer

Length of Course: A suggested instructional time of 4 hours

Material Requirements: Overhead projector, chalkboard and/or flip charts, video tape player, handouts, practical exercises, and demonstrations

Instructor Qualifications: Instructors should be very knowledgeable about traffic stop procedures and law enforcement issues

Evaluation Process and Procedures

An examination should be given. The instructor may decide upon the nature and content of the examination. It must, however, sufficiently demonstrate the mastery of the subject content by the student.

Reference Materials

Reference materials are located at the end of the course. An electronic copy of this instructor guide may be downloaded from our web site at <http://www.tcleose.state.tx.us>.

Racial Profiling 3256

1.0 RACIAL PROFILING AND THE LAW

1.1 UNIT GOAL: The student will be able to identify the legal aspects of racial profiling.

1.1.1 LEARNING OBJECTIVE: The student will be able to identify the legislative requirements placed upon peace officers and law enforcement agencies regarding racial profiling.

Racial Profiling Requirements:

Racial profiling CCP 3.05

Racial profiling prohibited CCP 2.131

Law enforcement policy on racial profiling CCP 2.132

Reports required for traffic and pedestrian stops CCP 2.133

Liability CCP 2.136

Racial profiling education for police chiefs Education Code 96.641

Training program Occupations Code 1701.253

Training required for intermediate certificate Occupations Code 1701.402

Definition of "race or ethnicity" for form Transportation Code 543.202

A. Written departmental policies

1. Definition of what constitutes racial profiling
2. Prohibition of racial profiling
3. Complaint process
4. Public education
5. Corrective action
6. Collection of traffic-stop statistics
7. Annual reports

B. Not prima facie evidence

C. Feasibility of use of video equipment

D. Data does not identify officer

E. Copy of complaint-related video evidence to officer in question

F. Vehicle stop report

1. Physical description of detainees: gender, race or ethnicity
2. Alleged violation
3. Consent to search
4. Contraband
5. Facts supporting probable cause
6. Arrest
7. Warning or citation issued

G. Compilation and analysis of data

H. Exemption from reporting – audio/video equipment

I. Officer non-liability

J. Funding

K. Required training in racial profiling

1. Police chiefs

2. All holders of intermediate certificates and/or two-year-old licenses as of 09/01/2001 (training to be completed no later than 09/01/2003) – see legislation 77R-SB1074

1.1.2 LEARNING OBJECTIVE: The student will become familiar with Supreme Court decisions and other court decisions involving appropriate actions in traffic stops.

A. Whren v. United States, 517 U.S. 806, 116 S.Ct. 1769 (1996)

1. Motor vehicle search exemption

2. Traffic violation acceptable as pretext for further investigation

3. Selective enforcement can be challenged

B. Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1, 88 S.Ct. 1868 (1968)

1. Stop & Frisk doctrine

2. Stopping and briefly detaining a person

3. Frisk and pat down

C. Other cases

1. Pennsylvania v. Mimms, 434 U.S. 106, 98 S.Ct. 330 (1977)

2. Maryland v. Wilson, 117 S.Ct. 882 (1997)

3. Graham v. State, 119 MdApp 444, 705 A.2d 82 (1998)

4. Pryor v. State, 122 Md.App. 671 (1997) cert. denied 352 Md. 312, 721 A.2d 990 (1998)

5. Ferris v. State, 355 Md. 356, 735 A.2d 491 (1999)

6. New York v. Belton, 453 U.S. 454 (1981)

2.0 RACIAL PROFILING AND THE COMMUNITY

2.1 UNIT GOAL: The student will be able to identify logical and social arguments against racial profiling.

2.1.1 LEARNING OBJECTIVE: The student will be able to identify logical and social arguments against racial profiling.

A. There are appropriate reasons for unusual traffic stops (suspicious behavior, the officer's intuition, MOs, etc.), but police work must stop short of cultural stereotyping and racism

B. Racial profiling would result in criminal arrests, but only because it would target all members of a race randomly – the minor benefits would be far outweighed by the distrust and anger towards law enforcement by minorities and the public as a whole

C. Racial profiling is self-fulfilling bad logic: if you believed that minorities committed more crimes, then you might look for more minority criminals, and find them in disproportionate numbers

D. Inappropriate traffic stops generate suspicion and antagonism towards officers and make future stops more volatile – a racially-based stop today can throw suspicion on tomorrow's legitimate stop

E. By focusing on race, you would not only be harassing innocent citizens, but overlooking criminals of all races and backgrounds – it is a waste of law enforcement resources

3.0 RACIAL PROFILING VERSUS REASONABLE SUSPICION

3.1 UNIT GOAL: The student will be able to identify the elements of both inappropriate and appropriate traffic stops.

3.1.1 LEARNING OBJECTIVE: The student will be able to identify elements of a racially motivated traffic stop.

A. Most race-based complaints come from vehicle stops, often since race is used as an inappropriate substitute for drug courier profile elements

B. "DWB" – "Driving While Black" – a nickname for the public perception that a Black person may be stopped solely because of their race (especially with the suspicion that they are a drug courier), often extended to other minority groups or activities as well ("Driving While Brown," "Flying While Black," etc.)

C. A typical traffic stop resulting from racial profiling

1. The vehicle is stopped on the basis of a minor or contrived traffic violation which is used as a pretext for closer inspection of the vehicle, driver, and passengers
2. The driver and passengers are questioned about things that do not relate to the traffic violation

3. The driver and passengers are ordered out of the vehicle
4. The officers visually check all observable parts of the vehicle

5. The officers proceed on the assumption that drug courier work is involved by detaining the driver and passengers by the roadside
6. The driver is asked to consent to a vehicle search – if the driver refuses, the officers use other procedures (waiting on a canine unit, criminal record checks, license-plate checks, etc.), and intimidate the driver (with the threat of detaining him/her, obtaining a warrant, etc.)

3.1.2 LEARNING OBJECTIVE: The student will be able to identify elements of a traffic stop which would constitute reasonable suspicion of drug courier activity.

- A. Drug courier profile (adapted from a profile developed by the DEA)
 1. Driver is nervous or anxious beyond the ordinary anxiety and cultural communication styles
 2. Signs of long-term driving (driver is unshaven, has empty food containers, etc.)
 3. Vehicle is rented
 4. Driver is a young male, 20-35
 5. No visible luggage, even though driver is traveling
 6. Driver was over-reckless or over-cautious in driving and responding to signals
 7. Use of air fresheners
- B. Drug courier activity indicators by themselves are usually not sufficient to justify a stop

3.1.3 LEARNING OBJECTIVE: The student will be able to identify elements of a traffic stop which could constitute reasonable suspicion of criminal activity.

- A. Thinking about the totality of circumstances in a vehicle stop
- B. Vehicle exterior
 1. Non-standard repainting (esp. on a new vehicle)
 2. Signs of hidden cargo (heavy weight in trunk, windows do not roll down, etc.)
 3. Unusual license plate suggesting a switch (dirty plate, bugs on back plate, etc.)
 4. Unusual circumstances (pulling a camper at night, kids' bikes with no kids, etc.)
- C. Pre-stop indicators
 1. Not consistent with traffic flow
 2. Driver is overly cautious, or driver/passengers repeatedly look at police car
 3. Driver begins using a car- or cell-phone when signaled to stop
 4. Unusual pull-over behavior (ignores signals, hesitates, pulls onto new street, moves objects in car, etc.)
- D. Vehicle interior
 1. Rear seat or interior panels have been opened, there are tools or spare tire, etc.
 2. Inconsistent items (anti-theft club with a rental, unexpected luggage, etc.)

Resources

Proactive Field Stops Training Unit – Instructor's Guide, Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions, 2001. (See Appendix A.)

Web address for legislation 77R-SB1074:

<http://tlo2.tlc.state.tx.us/tlo/77r/billtext/SB01074F.htm>

Report on Complaints

Report on Complaints

The following table contains data regarding officers that have been the subject of a complaint, during the time period of 1/1/15---12/31/15, based on allegations outlining possible violations related to the Texas Racial Profiling Law. The final disposition of the case is also included.

A check above indicates that the Richland Hills Police Department has not received any complaints, on any members of its police force, for having violated the Texas Racial Profiling Law during the time period of 1/1/15 ---- 12/31/15.

Complaints Filed for Possible Violations of The Texas Racial Profiling Law

Complaint No.	Alleged Violation			Disposition of the Case

Additional Comments:

Tables Illustrating Traffic and Motor Vehicle-Related Contacts

Tier 1 Data

(I) Tier 1 Data


Motor Vehicle-Related Contact Information (1/1/15—12/31/15)


Race/Ethnicity*	Contacts		Searches		Consensual Searches		PC Searches		Custody Arrests	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Caucasian	1,056	54	46	49	25	61	20	38	24	41
African	270	14	25	27	11	27	14	27	20	34
Hispanic	591	30	20	22	5	12	15	29	13	22
Asian	26	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native American	1	.05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern	22	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	2
Other	4	.2	1	1	0	0	2	4	0	0
Total	1,970	100	93	100	41	100	52	100	58	100


"N" represents "number" of traffic-related contacts

* Race/Ethnicity is defined by Senate Bill 1074 as being of a "particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, Native American or Middle Eastern".

**Figure has been rounded








Total Number of Instances where Officers Knew/did not Know Race/Ethnicity of Individuals Before Being Detained (1/1/15--12/31/15)

Total Number of Instances where Officers <u>Knew</u> Race and Ethnicity of Individuals Before Being Detained	Total Number of Instances where Officers <u>Did Not Know</u> the Race and Ethnicity of Individuals Before Being Detained
27	1,935

The Richland Hills Police Department reports 8 instances as unknown/not specified.



Tier 1 (Partial Exemption TCLEOSE Form)

Partial Exemption Racial Profiling Reporting (Tier 1)

Department Name _____

Agency Number _____

Chief Administrator Name _____

Reporting Name _____

Contact Number _____

E-mail Address _____

Certification to Report 2.132 (Tier 1) – Partial Exemption

Policy Requirements (2.132(b) CCP): Each law enforcement agency in this state shall adopt a detailed written policy on racial profiling. The policy must:

- (1) clearly define acts constituting racial profiling;
- (2) strictly prohibit peace officers employed by the agency from engaging in racial profiling;
- (3) implement a process by which an individual may file a complaint with the agency if the individual believes that a peace officer employed by the agency has engaged in racial profiling with respect to the individual;
- (4) provide public education relating to the agency's complaint process;
- (5) require appropriate corrective action to be taken against a peace officer employed by the agency who, after an investigation, is shown to have engaged in racial profiling in violation of the agency's policy adopted under this article;

(6) require collection of information relating to motor vehicle stops in which a citation is issued and to arrests made as a result of those stops, including information relating to:

- (A) the race or ethnicity of the individual detained;
- (B) whether a search was conducted and, if so, whether the individual detained consented to the search; and
- (C) whether the peace officer knew the race or ethnicity of the individual detained before detaining that individual; and

(7) require the chief administrator of the agency, regardless of whether the administrator is elected, employed, or appointed, to submit an annual report of the information collected under Subdivision (6) to:

- (A) the Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education; and
- (B) the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency, if the agency is an agency of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state.

These polices are in effect

Chief Administrator Date

Partial Exemption Racial Profiling Reporting

(Tier 1)

Video and Audio Equipment Exemption

Partial Exemption Claimed by (2.135(a) CCP):

all cars regularly used for motor vehicle stops are equipped with video camera and transmitter-activated equipment and each motor stop is recorded and the recording of the stop is retained for at least 90 days after the stop.

OR

In accordance with 2.135(a)(2) the agency has requested and not received funds to install the recording equipment

I claim this exemption

Chief Administrator Date

Partial Exemption Racial Profiling Reporting (Tier 1)

(This is the TCLEOSE recommended form. The form is not mandatory. The information contained in this form, however, is mandatory. You may use your form, but all information must be provided.)

If you claim a partial exemption you must submit a report that contains the following data or use this format to report the data.

Instructions: Please fill out all boxes. If zero, use 0.

1. Total on lines 4, 11, 14, and 17 Must be equal

2. Total on line 20 Must equal line 15

Number of Motor Vehicle Stops:

1. _____ citation only

2. _____ arrest only

3. _____ both

4. _____ Total

Race or Ethnicity:

5. _____ African

6. _____ Asian

7. _____ Caucasian

8. _____ Hispanic

9. _____ Middle Eastern

10. _____ Native American

11. _____ Total

Race or Ethnicity Known Prior to Stop?

12. _____ Yes

13. _____ No

14. _____ Total

Search Conducted:

15. _____ Yes

16. _____ No

17. _____ Total

Was Search Consented?

18. _____ Yes

19. _____ No

20. _____ Total Must Equal # 15

Option to submit required data by utilizing agency report

You must submit your report in PDF format

Electronic Submission of data required by 2.132(b)(6) CCP

(6) require collection of information relating to motor vehicle stops in which a citation is issued and to arrests made as a result of those stops, including information relating to:

(A) the race or ethnicity of the individual detained;

(B) whether a search was conducted and, if so, whether the individual detained consented to the search; and

(C) whether the peace officer knew the race or ethnicity of the individual detained before detaining that individual; and

This report meets the above requirements

Chief Administrator

Date

Send entire documents electronically to this website

www.tcleose.state.tx.us

Tier 1 Baseline Comparison (Fair Roads Standard)


(II) Motor Vehicle-Contacts and Fair Roads Standard Comparison

Comparison of motor vehicle-related contacts with households in DFW that have vehicle access (in percentages). (1/1/15—12/31/15)

Race/Ethnicity*	Contacts (in percentages)	Households with vehicle access (in percentages)
Caucasian	54	60
African	14	14
Hispanic	30	19
Asian	1	5
Native American	.05	N/A
Middle Eastern	1	N/A
Other	.2	N/A
Total	100	98**

* Race/Ethnicity are defined by Senate Bill 1074 as being of a “particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, Native American and Middle Eastern”.

**Represents rounded figure



Tier 1 Data
(Fourteen-Year Comparative Analysis)
(2002—2015)

(III) Fourteen-Year Tier 1 Data Comparison

**Comparison of Fourteen-Year Traffic and Motor Vehicle-Related Contact Information
(1/1/02---12/31/15)**

Race/Ethnicity*	Traffic-Related Contacts (in percentages)							
	(02)	(03)	(04)	(05)	(06)	(07)	(08)	(09)
Caucasian	69	73	75	70	65	68	69	64
African	7	9	10	10	10	11	11	11
Hispanic	19	14	11	17	20	17	15	18
Asian	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	2
Native American	.08	.02	0	.07	.07	0	0	1
Other	3	3	3	1	1	2	3	4
Total	100	100**	100**	100**	100**	100**	100**	100**

* Race/Ethnicity is defined by Texas Senate Bill 1074 as being of a “particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American”.

** Figure has been rounded.

**Comparison of Fourteen-Year Traffic and Motor Vehicle-Related Contact Information
(1/1/02---12/31/15)**

Race/Ethnicity*	Motor Vehicle-Related Contacts (in percentages)					
	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Caucasian	65	60	61	58	54	54
African	11	12	12	13	14	14
Hispanic	21	23	23	26	29	30
Asian	2	3	2	2	2	1
Native American	.2	.1	.2	.05	.04	.05
Middle Eastern	.4	2	1	1	.6	1
Other	.9	0	.08	0	.6	.2
Total	100**	100	100	100	100	100

*Race/Ethnicity is defined by Texas Senate Bill 1074 as being of a “particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, Native American and Middle Eastern”.

** Figure has been rounded.

Comparison of Fourteen-Year Traffic and Motor-Vehicle Related Search Information (1/1/02---12/31/15)

Race/Ethnicity*	Search-Related Searches (in percentages)							
	(02)	(03)	(04)	(05)	(06)	(07)	(08)	(09)
Caucasian	63	66	64	64	59	54	52	73
African	12	12	13	18	14	24	26	18
Hispanic	20	21	22	18	26	21	21	0
Asian	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	9
Native American	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total	100**	100**	100**	100	100	100	100	100

* Race/Ethnicity is defined by Texas Senate Bill 1074 as being of a “particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American”.

** Figure has been rounded.

Comparison of Fourteen-Year Traffic and Motor Vehicle-Related Search Information (1/1/02---12/31/15)

Race/Ethnicity*	Motor Vehicle-Related Searches (in percentages)					
	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Caucasian	56	52	60	56	51	49
African	13	19	14	18	23	27
Hispanic	27	26	21	24	25	22
Asian	2	0	4	2	0	0
Native American	0	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern	0	3	.8	0	0	1
Other	2	0	0	0	.8	1
Total	100**	100**	100**	100	100	100

*Race/Ethnicity is defined by Texas Senate Bill 1074 as being of a “particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, Native American and Middle Eastern”.

** Figure has been rounded.

Comparison of Fourteen-Year Traffic and Motor Vehicle-Related Arrest Information (1/1/02---12/31/15)

Race/Ethnicity*	Motor Vehicle-Related Arrests (in percentages)							
	(02)	(03)	(04)	(05)	(06)	(07)	(08)	(09)
Caucasian	63	63	64	63	56	52	54	43
African	11	21	13	18	17	25	25	27
Hispanic	21	16	22	19	26	22	20	27
Asian	3	0	0	0	.8	1	1	3
Native American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total	100**	100	100**	100	100**	100	100	100

* Race/Ethnicity is defined by Texas Senate Bill 1074 as being of a “particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American”.

** Figure has been rounded.

**Comparison of Fourteen-Year Traffic and Motor Vehicle-Related Arrest Information
(1/1/02---12/31/15)**

Race/Ethnicity*	Motor Vehicle-Related Arrests (in percentages)					
	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Caucasian	45	39	49	50	49	41
African	15	25	19	22	26	34
Hispanic	33	34	27	25	24	22
Asian	2	1	5	3	0	0
Native American	0	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern	0	0	0	0	0	2
Other	5	0	0	0	1	0
Total	100**	100**	100**	100	100	100

*Race/Ethnicity is defined by Texas Senate Bill 1074 as being of a “particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, Native American and Middle Eastern”.

** Figure has been rounded.

Analysis and Interpretation of Data

Analysis

In 2001, the Texas legislature passed Senate Bill 1074 which became the Texas Racial Profiling Law. That is, the law came into effect on January 1, 2002 and required all police departments in Texas, to collect traffic-related data and report this information to their local governing authority by March 1st of each year. In 2009, the racial profiling law was modified to include the collection and reporting of all motor vehicle related contacts where a citation was issued or arrest made. In addition, the modification to the law further requires that all police officers indicate whether or not they knew the race or ethnicity of the individual before detaining them. Further, it is required that agencies report motor vehicle related data to their local governing authority and to the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement (TCOLE) by March 1st of each year. The purpose in collecting and presenting this information is to determine if police officers in a particular municipality are engaging in the practice of racially profiling minority motorists.

The Texas Racial Profiling Law also requires police departments to interpret motor vehicle-related data. Even though most researchers would probably agree with the fact that it is within the confines of good practice for police departments to be accountable to the citizenry while carrying a transparent image before the community, it is very difficult to determine if individual police officers are engaging in racial profiling, from a review and analysis of aggregate/institutional data. In other words, it is challenging for a reputable researcher to identify specific “individual” racist behavior from aggregate-level “institutional” data on traffic or motor vehicle-related contacts.

As stated previously, in 2009, the Texas Legislature passed House Bill 3389, which modified the existing Racial Profiling Law by adding new requirements; this took effect on January 1st, 2010. These most recent changes include, but are not exclusive of, the re-definition of a contact to include motor vehicles where a citation was issued or an arrest made. In addition, it requires police officers to indicate if they knew the race or ethnicity of the individual before detaining them. Also, the more recent law requires adding "middle eastern" to the racial and ethnic category and submitting the annual data report to TCOLE before March 1st of each year. I am pleased to inform you that these additional requirements have been addressed, since 2009, by the Richland Hills Police Department as it is demonstrated throughout this report.

In an effort to comply with The Texas Racial Profiling Law, the Richland Hills Police Department commissioned the analysis of its 2015 motor vehicle contact data. Thus, three different types of data analyses were performed. The first of these involved a careful evaluation of the 2015 motor vehicle-related data. This particular analysis measured, as required by the law, the number and percentage of Caucasians, African Americans, Hispanics, Asians, Native Americans, Middle Easterners and individuals belonging to the “other” category, that came in contact with the police in the course of a motor vehicle related contact, and were either issued a citation or arrested. Further, the analysis included information relevant to the number and percentage of searches (table 1) while indicating the type of search performed (i.e., consensual or probable cause). Also, the data analysis included the number and percentage of individuals who, after they came in contact with the police for a motor vehicle-related reason, were arrested.

The additional data analysis performed was based on a comparison of the 2015 motor vehicle contact data with a specific baseline. When reviewing this particular analysis, it should be noted that there is disagreement, in the literature, regarding the appropriate baseline to be used when analyzing motor vehicle-related contact information. Of the baseline measures available, the Richland Hills Police Department opted to adopt, as a baseline measure, the Fair Roads Standard. This particular baseline is based on data obtained through the U.S. Census Bureau (2010) relevant to the number of households that have access to vehicles while controlling for the race and ethnicity of the heads of households.

It is clear that census data presents challenges to any effort made at establishing a fair and accurate racial profiling analysis. That is, census data contains information on all residents of a particular community, regardless of the fact they may or may not be among the driving population. Further, census data, when used as a baseline of comparison, presents the challenge that it captures information related to city residents only. Thus, excluding individuals who may have come in contact with the Richland Hills Police Department in 2015 but live outside city limits. In some cases, the percentage of the population that comes in contact with the police but lives outside city limits represents a substantial volume of all motor vehicle-related contacts made in a given year.

Since 2002, several civil rights groups in Texas expressed their desire and made recommendations to the effect that all police departments should rely, in their data analysis, on the Fair Roads Standard. This source contains census data specific to the number of “households” that have access to vehicles. Thus, proposing to compare “households” (which may have multiple residents and only a few vehicles) with “contacts” (an individual-based count). This, in essence, constitutes a comparison that may result in ecological fallacy. Despite this, the Richland Hills Police Department made a decision that it would use this form of comparison (i.e., census data relevant to households with vehicles) in an attempt to demonstrate its “good will” and “transparency” before the community. Thus, the Fair Roads Standard data obtained and used in this study is specifically relevant to the Dallas Fort Worth (DFW) Metroplex.

The final analysis was conducted while using the 2002--2009 traffic data and the 2010—2015 motor-vehicle related data. Specifically, all traffic-related contacts made in 2009 were compared to similar figures reported in 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008. Similarly, motor vehicle contact data was compared while using data from 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015. There is no question that the comparison of fourteen years of traffic/motor vehicle contact data highlight areas of consistency with regards to traffic and motor vehicle-related contacts. That is, the fourteen-year comparison has the potential of revealing indicators that a possible trend of traffic and motor vehicle-based contacts with regards to members of a specific minority group, may in fact, develop.

Tier 1 (2015) Motor Vehicle-Related Contact Analysis

When analyzing the Tier 1 data collected in 2015, it was evident that most motor vehicle-related contacts were made with Caucasian drivers. This was followed by Hispanic and African American drivers. With respect to searches, most of them were performed on Caucasian drivers. This was followed by African Americans and Hispanics. It is important to note that the arrest data revealed that Caucasian drivers were arrested the most in motor vehicle-related contacts; this was followed by African Americans and Hispanics.

Fair Roads Standard Analysis

The data analysis of motor vehicle contacts to the census data relevant to the number of “households” in the DFW who indicated, in the 2010 census, that they had access to vehicles, produced interesting findings. Specifically, the percentage of individuals of Hispanic descent that came in contact with the police was higher than the percentage of Hispanic households in DFW that claimed, in the 2010 census, to have access to vehicles. With respect to Caucasians, African Americans and Asians, the same or a lower percentage of contacts were detected. That is, the percentage of Caucasian, African American and Asian drivers that came in contact with the police in 2015 was the same or lower than the percentage of Caucasian, African American and Asian households in DFW with access to vehicles.

Fourteen-Year Comparison

The fourteen-year comparison (02-15) of traffic and motor vehicle related-contact data showed some similarities. As illustrated in table 3, the percentage of drivers (from different racial/ethnic groups) that came in contact with the Richland Hills Police in 2015 was similar to the percentage of drivers, from the same racial/ethnic groups that came in contact with the Richland Hills Police Department from 2002 to 2014. However, a few differences were noted. When comparing 2015 to the previous years, there was an increase in percentage of contacts among Hispanic drivers. A decrease in percentage was detected among Asians.

It is clear that commonalities in the data existed, when analyzing the search-related contacts for all fourteen years. A decrease in percentage was noted among Caucasians and Hispanics; the opposite was true for African Americans. When considering the arrests made, the data revealed that the percentage of arrests increased among African Americans while a decrease in percentage was evident among Caucasians and Asians. It should be noted that the 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015 data should be analyzed while considering that since January 1st of 2010, a contact was re-defined by the law; thus, making it statistically challenging to compare traffic contacts (collected and reported from 2002-2009) with motor vehicle contacts (collected and reported since 2010).

Summary of Findings

The comparison of motor vehicle contacts showed that the Richland Hills Police Department came in contact (in motor vehicle-related incidents) with the same or a smaller percentage of Caucasian, African American and Asian drivers than the percentage that resided in DFW and had access to vehicles. Further, the data suggested that the percentage of Hispanic drivers that came in contact with the police in 2015 was higher than the percentage of Hispanic households in DFW with access to vehicles. In addition, the data showed that in a large number of instances, officers did not know the race or ethnicity of individuals before detaining them, when compared to instances where officers knew the race/ethnicity of individuals before they were detained.

An examination of the fourteen-year traffic and motor vehicle-related contact data suggested that the Richland Hills Police Department has been, for the most part, consistent in the racial/ethnic composition of motorists it comes in contact with during a given year. The consistency of contacts for the past fourteen years is in place despite the fact the city demographics may have changed, thus, increasing the number of subjects likely to come in contact with the police.

While considering the findings made in this analysis, it is recommended that the Richland Hills Police Department should continue to collect and evaluate additional information on motor vehicle contact data (i.e., reason for probable cause searches, contraband detected) which may prove to be useful when determining the nature of the contacts police officers are making with all individuals; particularly with Hispanics. Although this additional data may not be required by state law, it is likely to provide insights regarding the nature and outcome of all motor vehicle contacts made with the public.

As part of this effort, the Richland Hills Police Department is also encouraged to:

- 1) Perform an independent search analysis on the search data collected in the first quarter of 2016.
- 2) Commission data audits in 2016 in order to assess data integrity; that is, to ensure that the data collected is consistent with the data being reported.

The Richland Hills Police Department has, once again, complied with the Texas Racial Profiling Law.

(III) Summary

Checklist

Checklist

The following requirements **were** met by the Richland Hills Police Department in accordance with The Texas Racial Profiling Law:

- Clearly defined act or actions that constitute racial profiling
- Statement indicating prohibition of any peace officer employed by the Richland Hills Police Department from engaging in racial profiling
- Implement a process by which an individual may file a complaint regarding racial profiling violations
- Provide public education related to the complaint process
- Implement disciplinary guidelines for officer found in violation of the Texas Racial Profiling Law
- Collect data (Tier 1) that includes information on
 - a) Race and ethnicity of individual detained
 - b) Whether a search was conducted
 - c) If there was a search, whether it was a consent search or a probable cause search
 - d) Whether a custody arrest took place
- Indicate total number of officers who knew and did not know, the race/ethnicity of individuals before being detained.
- Produce an annual report on police contacts (Tier 1) and present this to local governing body and TCOLE by March 1, 2016.
- Adopt a policy, if video/audio equipment is installed, on standards for reviewing video and audio documentation

Contact Information

Contact Information

For additional questions regarding the information presented in this report, please contact:

Del Carmen Consulting, LLC
817.681.7840
www.texasracialprofiling.com
www.delcarmenconsulting.com

Disclaimer: The author of this report, Alejandro del Carmen/del Carmen Consulting, LLC, is not liable for any omissions or errors committed in the acquisition, analysis, or creation of this report. Further, Dr. del Carmen/del Carmen Consulting is not responsible for the inappropriate use and distribution of information contained in this report. Further, no liability shall be incurred as a result of any harm that may be caused to individuals and/or organizations as a result of the information contained in this report.

Police

Department Narrative of Significant Actions, Results, and Pending Items:

- **The department conducted two narcotic search warrants at two separate residences within the city. One resulted in an arrest and confiscation of methamphetamine as well as the recovery of a stolen motorcycle. The other resulted in the confiscation of several items of drug paraphernalia. The department partnered with Code Enforcement to have issued a no occupancy order on the second residence.**

- **In November 2015, both RHPD investigators attended a course on investigation of “criminal Gypsies and Travelers” and their offenses against the elderly. Based on this training, the investigators identified 2 known criminal “Romani Gypsies” (listed in the national database) in the act of attempting a roofing scam against residents of the city. The “Romani Gypsy” suspects were photographed, ticketed, and warned away before they could complete their scam.**

Service Calls		
	Current Month	YTD Total
Citizen Calls	336	336
911 Calls	177	177
Officer Initiated	584	584
Total Calls	1097	1097

Part 1 Offenses		
	Current Month	YTD Total
Murder	0	0
Rape	0	0
Robbery	0	0
Aggravated Assault	0	0
Burglary	4	4
Theft	26	26
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	3
Total	33	33

Crashes & Injuries		
	Current Month	YTD Total
Crash Reports	10	10
Injuries	1	1

Criminal Investigations		
	Current Month	YTD Total
Assigned Cases – Active	30	30
Closed Cases	0	0
Unfounded	0	0
Suspended	4	4
Exceptionally Cleared	2	2
Arrest – Case Filed	4	4
Arrest – Case Not Filed	0	0
Total Assigned Cases	20	20